

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_119274
Title of the Manuscript:	MANAGEMENT OF POD BUGS IN COWPEA (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L.) THROUGH ECO-FRIENDLY INSECTICIDES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>*Comments on the manuscript</p> <p>1- Follow the modifications in the manuscript that have been made in red color.</p> <p>2- Edit the title as shown in red</p> <p>3- In the Abstract, in the third line, before mention the results the compounds used in the study and the control method used must be mentioned.</p> <p>4- In the fourth line in the Abstract, what is the highest reduction % achieved by the compound, it must be mentioned so I indicated this with a question mark in red color.</p> <p>5- In the Materials and Methods, the names of the compounds used in the study must be mentioned at the beginning of the paragraph and not at the end, as is clear in the amendment by red color.</p> <p>6- In the equations, percent of seed damage or pod damage should be written as % not per cent word.</p> <p>7- In the Tables, the concentrations of microbial compounds should be written as spores/liter or conidia/liter not grams/liter. So the concentrations of spores must be calculated in the amount which taken from each compound and applied.</p> <p>8- In the Reference, the author Srinivas has been placed in the correct place for correct alphabetical form.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hend Sh. Ghareeb
Department, University & Country	Plant Protection Research Institute, Egypt