

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_119326
Title of the Manuscript:	BRITISH FOREST LAWS IN INDIA: DISRUPTION OF ECOLOGICAL BALANCE, LIVELIHOODS, TRADITIONS AND CUSTOMS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript on British Forest Laws in India is crucial for the scientific community as it provides a comprehensive analysis of the impacts of British Forest Policy during Colonial India. It sheds light on the ecological, economic, and social consequences faced by the Indian population due to these policies, making it a valuable resource for researchers, historians, and policymakers interested in environmental history and colonial legacies. 2. The title "British Forest Laws in India: Disruption of Ecological Balance, Livelihoods, Traditions and Customs" effectively captures the essence of the manuscript. However, a more concise alternative could be "Impacts of British Forest Laws in Colonial India" for brevity while still conveying the main focus of the study. 3. The abstract of the article appears to be comprehensive as it outlines the key objectives, research methodology, data analysis, and historical background covered in the manuscript. It provides a clear overview of the study's scope and findings, making it suitable for readers seeking a quick understanding of the research. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript seem appropriate, with clear delineation of objectives, methodology, data analysis, and historical background. This organization helps readers navigate through the content efficiently and enhances the overall readability of the document. 5. The scientific accuracy of the manuscript appears sound based on the information provided in the PDF excerpts. The references to historical events, policies, and scholarly works contribute to the credibility of the research presented in the document. 6. The references cited in the manuscript include works by notable authors in the field of environmental history and forestry in India. While the references seem sufficient and relevant, additional recent sources could further enrich the study. Suggestions for additional references could include recent publications on post-colonial environmental policies in India or studies on indigenous perspectives on forest management. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language and English quality of the article seem suitable for scholarly communications. However, a thorough proofreading for grammar, punctuation, and clarity could enhance the overall readability and professionalism of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ibrar Ahmad
Department, University & Country	School of International Education, Southwest University of Political Science and Law, China