

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_119326
Title of the Manuscript:	BRITISH FOREST LAWS IN INDIA: DISRUPTION OF ECOLOGICAL BALANCE, LIVELIHOODS, TRADITIONS AND CUSTOMS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, especially to understand how the British Forest Law affects society and ecological environment in India. This article highlights changes and their impacts, particularly the losses suffered by the Indian people. Historical insights can be utilized to affect laws and regulations in the future to pay more attention to issues that arise. 2. Yes, the title is suitable and describes the content of the discussion. 3. No, the abstract need major change. The abstract must include the aims, study design, place and duration of study, methodology, and results. In this article, the author merely explains the aim of writing and a summary of the discussion. To comply with the writing guidelines, adjustments to the abstracts are required. 4. Yes, the subsection and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. However, it is recommended that the author include information about the British Forest Law's impact on modern Indian legal policy. This is critical for maturing the topic and moving it beyond historical discussions. Aside from that, the author is recommended to submit suggestions to Indian legislators so that they can pay greater attention to society and ecology when drafting laws. This is to ensure that issues seen during the British Forest Law era do not reoccur in the future. 6. The author's references are rather out of date. Authors should use references from at least the last ten years. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minor changes are required to better adapt to scientific writing. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pg. 1. Abstract – “The authors in this paper try to analyse” suggested change “The aim of this article is to analyze the British Forest Policy in Colonial India and its impacts comprehensively by reading literature of various sorts”. 2. Pg. 1. Abstract - Include the study's design, location and duration of study, and methodology. 3. Pg. 1. Abstract - Adjust the results of the article. 4. Pg. 2. Introduction – “But the Forest dwellers and their community rights”. The word 'But' is used to connect two words in the same phrase; it cannot be used at the beginning of a sentence. Changes recommended include using the word 'however' or anything similar at the start of the sentence to relate it to the previous sentence. 5. Pg. 3. Research Methodology 6. Pg. 3. Methodology – “The research is based on primary and secondary source of this study, and pertinent data have been gathered for this research from a variety of (there are three spaces here) sources”. 7. Pg. 3. Methodology – “In order to be acknowledged in related topics, the researcher uses this method to search through various articles, texts, booklets, handouts, seminar presentations, notes, newspapers, national & international research papers, web sites, ...” change to “In order to be acknowledged in related topics, the research uses this method to search through various articles, texts, booklets, handouts, seminar presentations, newspapers, national & international research articles, and websites.” 8. Pg. 3. Methodology – “in conducting this research, I adopted the doctrinal approach and as 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>such, the research completely relied on consultations of academic materials written on the subject area.” <i>Change to “The doctrinal approach is used in conducting the research and as such, the research completely relied on consultations of academic materials written on the subject area.”</i></p> <p>9. Pg. 3. Methodology – “By so doing, I concerned myself with identifying the existing gaps and ensuring that I tailor the research towards filling the identified gaps.” <i>Change to “By so doing, this research concerned with identifying the existing gaps and ensuring that the research towards filling the identified gaps.”</i></p> <p>10. Pg. 3. Data Analysis and Interpretation – analysed change to analyzed.</p> <p>11. Pg. 4. Subheading 5.1. Paragraph 2 – monopolise change to monopolize; realised change to realized; organised change to organized.</p> <p>12. Pg. 4. Subheading 5.1. Paragraph 3 – provide further explanation about Reserved Forests, forests donated to eminent Brahmins, and forests for public use.</p> <p>13. Pg. 4. Subheading 5.1. Paragraph 5 – It is recommended to replace the word ‘but’.</p> <p>14. Pg. 6. Subheading 5.2. Paragraph 4 – utilised change to utilized.</p> <p>15. Pg. 6. Subheading 5.2. Paragraph 5 – “..., But Revenue orientation ...” change to “..., but revenue orientation ...”</p> <p>16. Pg. 8 - organised change to organized.</p> <p>17. Pg. 9 - utilised change to utilized</p> <p>18. Pg. 11 - organised change to organized.</p> <p>19. Pg. 13 to 14. Subheading 5.6. Paragraph 4, 5, and 6 – It is recommended to replace the word ‘but’.</p> <p>20. Pg. 14. Concluding Remarks change to Conclusion.</p> <p>21. Pg. 15. Conclusion. Paragraph 2. legalise change to legalize.</p> <p>In General</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Fix the numbering of subheadings and sub-subheadings. 2. Revise the abstract following the writing guidelines. 3. It is suggested that sub-subheading 5.7 be added to explore the impact of the British Forest Law on current Indian legal policy. 4. Adds recommendations to the conclusion for the future development of legal formation in India. 5. Update references with research from last ten years. 	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diya UI Akmal
Department, University & Country	Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Indonesia