

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_JSRR_117700</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Revolutionizing Farm Management with Modern Agricultural Extension Techniques- A review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes. The manuscript is very much helpful and important for scientific community. It will be helpful in agriculture discipline to enhance qualitative and quantitative agriculture practice along with enhancing crop production according to increasing global need without damaging the nature and climate. I highly appreciate the review article with respect to quality of work.</li> <li>Yes the title is suitable and comprehensive, easily explains the nature of work.</li> <li>Abstract is the main theme of any research work. The abstract of this review article is best because it explains nature of work, findings and demand in future. This will be helpful for researchers to seek required knowledge from the article.</li> <li>All the sections and subsections are according to the journal's guidelines for author. There is a good reflection of that.</li> <li>Manuscript is scientifically correct and it will help the scientific community/ researchers in man were to find out the solution of problems related to agriculture practices and sustainable yield.</li> <li>All the references are sufficient but not recent. I highly recommend you that references after 2000 year should be preferred and earlier than 2000 should be avoided.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>English quality of review article is very good. It is suitable for scholarly communications.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The review article shows the est material and interest of the researcher in agriculture field. It will certainly helps the scientific community to cope with agricultural challenges as well as to enhance the productivity and sustainability.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Ahmed Saqib</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agriculture, Pakistan</b>