

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_119820
Title of the Manuscript:	In-Process Quality Checks and Post-Market Surveillance of Artemether-Lumefantrine Fixed-Dose Combination Tablets and Suspensions: Current Procedures, Successes, Advances, and Challenges
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript you described is important for the scientific community, particularly in the field of pharmaceuticals and public health. It addresses significant issues such as the prevalence of substandard antimalarial drugs, the challenges of ensuring quality in resource-limited settings, and the specific case of artemether-lumefantrine (AL) as a critical treatment for malaria.</p> <p>2. This title effectively communicates the subject matter, including the specific focus on quality checks during production and surveillance after market release, for Artemether-Lumefantrine formulations. It also hints at the broader context of current procedures, achievements, advancements, and challenges in this area. Therefore, yes, the title appears suitable for the content it represents.</p> <p>3. the abstract seems to provide a clear overview of the manuscript's scope, findings, and implications, making it comprehensive for readers seeking to understand the importance and content of the article.</p> <p>4. YES</p> <p>5. YES</p> <p>6. YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Venkata Suresh Babu Agala
Department, University & Country	Nimra College of Pharmacy, India