

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_119820
Title of the Manuscript:	In-Process Quality Checks and Post-Market Surveillance of Artemether-Lumefantrine Fixed-Dose Combination Tablets and Suspensions: Current Procedures, Successes, Advances, and Challenges
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it plays an important role for the scientific community in understanding the quality of antimalarial drugs which are present in the market. It is very important to inspect and test the quality to avoid any substandard quality being sold in the market.</p> <p>Yes, title of the article suits with the manuscript. Even though the title is long than usual, but still it explains the manuscript in just a line.</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive which summarizes the whole article.</p> <p>Structure of the manuscript is well maintained by proper subheadings.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct with proper evidences and references.</p> <p>References are more than sufficient for this manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language used in this manuscript makes it easier for people to understand the manuscript in a better way. However, I think there is requirement of little more standardisation of language in this manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chinthan Kajjari
Department, University & Country	Alva's Ayurveda Medical College and Hospital, India