

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_119524
Title of the Manuscript:	Communication Model in Managing Geothermal Power Plant Conflicts on Serang Regency, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Please take note of the following feedback:</p> <p>1. I think the manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it highlights how development can impact the community and the environment's resilience and sustainability.</p> <p>2. The title is acceptable.</p> <p>3. The abstract could be enhanced. It would be better if the author provides the background before stating the aims, to provide more context. The same should apply to the research background and theoretical background.</p> <p>4. There are some issues with the structure and presentation of ideas in the article.</p> <p>5. The problem statement is unclear.</p> <p>6. There should be more references, especially regarding the basic model the author is referring to. Additionally, it's important to specify which of Galtung's theories the author is referring to.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The article should be edited with English speaking person.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Please structure the article appropriately with logical order.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Prisca Delima</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Strategic and Global Studies, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia</b>