

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_119968
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of Dual Diagnosis: Chilaiditi Syndrome and Biliary Atresia Complicated by Cirrhosis: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. Since it is a case-report, many points from this case report can be taken for future considerations.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable. It is clear and concise.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It gives us symptoms and an idea of the treatment of specific condition.</p> <p>4. In this Case-report the subsections and structure are not there. But it should have little more work done on it.</p> <p>5. Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient, But the author should have linked all references in the body also.</p> <p>I encourage the authors to more sections in the paper as only discussion is there.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rakesh Kumar Giri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sunrise University, India</b>