

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRRGA_119968</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Management of Dual Diagnosis: Chilaiditi Syndrome and Biliary Atresia Complicated by Cirrhosis: A Case Report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the complex management of dual diagnoses involving Chilaiditi Syndrome and Biliary Atresia complicated by Cirrhosis. This topic is significant because it highlights the challenges in diagnosing and treating patients with multiple co-existing conditions, providing insights into potential treatment strategies and outcomes. Such case studies and analyses contribute to the medical literature by informing and guiding healthcare professionals in the management of rare and complicated medical conditions.</p> <p>The title of the article, "Management of Dual Diagnosis: Chilaiditi Syndrome and Biliary Atresia Complicated by Cirrhosis," is suitable as it clearly indicates the focus on managing the coexistence of these specific conditions. However, if a more detailed title is preferred, an alternative could be: " Management Strategies and Diagnostics for Chilaiditi Syndrome and Biliary Atresia Complicated by Cirrhosis: A Case Study"</p> <p>Yes, it is complete and meets the standards for writing case report articles, namely introduction, case presentation, discussion and conclusion.</p> <p>In this context, the manuscript structure that follows the standard scientific approach (Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion) is appropriate. I agree that including a clear and concise Conclusion section would strengthen the manuscript. This will help readers understand the main findings and their implications better, as well as provide useful guidance for medical practitioners encountering similar cases in the future.</p> <p>Yes, the text is scientifically correct. If the statement "In most cases, conservative medical treatment with analgesics or the use of a nasogastric tube is sufficient. However, severe complications may require surgical intervention. The primary treatment of biliary atresia is a hepatportoenterostomy (Kasai procedure), which creates a bile-digestive shunt between the liver hilum and the jejunum" originates from a doctor or reference, please cite it to avoid plagiarism.</p> <p>Yes, the reference is sufficient, but the references less recent, In my opinion, the references recent are articles published between 5-10 years ago.</p> <p>It sounds like you're suggesting that besides the mentioned points, it would be beneficial to include the disease progression timeline, diagnostic pathways, and treatment strategies in a comprehensive manner, potentially using illustrative graphics or infographics. This approach can help outline the initial assessments required during the first encounter, subsequent diagnostic steps, confirmation of the diagnosis, and the therapeutic interventions provided. Integrating these elements visually can indeed enhance the clarity and understanding of the case study.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, all articles must be in english because it is an international language and everyone can read it</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	maybe some sentences must comply with grammar and can prevent plagiarism	
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Lukman Nur Rahman
Department, University & Country	Airlangga University, Indonesia