

[Review Form 1.7](#)

JournalName:	Original Manuscript_AJHR_119551
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJHR_119551
TitleoftheManuscript:	BioconversionofAgriculturalWasteintoBiofuels
TypeoftheArticle	ReviewArticle

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is interesting for the scientific community but I find that it has been summarized and not all the review necessary to help understand the field has been done.</p> <p>2. The title is well chosen but the content is not extensive!</p> <p>3. Yes, the result is understandable!</p> <p>4. The structure is good but data is missing and most of the references are not recent!</p> <p>5. I think this is missing, we need to substantiate the data and update the information, especially on the pilot cases which were at the project stage and which I think are currently well implemented or at worst fallen by the wayside!</p> <p>6. The references must be up to date and are not enough to educate readers about all the advances in the field!</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, English is good!</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The tables and figures are not enough and even if they exist the author does not cite them in the text!</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lat Grand Ndiaye
Department, University & Country	Assane Seck University of Ziguinchor, Senegal