

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Food Science Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_AFSJ_117675</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study On The Addition Of Red Ginger To Salted Eggs At Different Storage Times</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is the need of the hour. The concept is novel, involving incorporation of red ginger in order to enhance the shelf life of salted eggs. This concept is of utmost importance to scientific community.</p> <p>Title of the article is not suitable. Alternative title suggested is "Effect of red ginger on salted eggs at different storage times".</p> <p>Yes. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient. But references up to 2021 have been included. The latest references i.e. from 2022 to 2024 have to be included.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Overall the language / English quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communications. It needs significant improvement.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The title of the article has to be modified.</li> <li>2. Nearly 50% of the article is communicated in past tense and the rest is communicated in present tense. Instead, past tense should be followed uniformly throughout the article.</li> <li>3. The language / English quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communications. It needs significant improvement.</li> <li>4. Abstract and Introduction are presented in a clear manner.</li> <li>5. Purchase or procurement and quality of chemicals has not been mentioned in materials section.</li> <li>6. The paragraph entitled "Making salted egg dough" is not presented properly. In its present context, it does not make any sense.</li> <li>7. The references are sufficient. But references up to 2021 have been included. The latest references i.e. from 2022 to 2024 have to be included.</li> <li>8. 3 among the 21 references have not been arranged alphabetically.</li> <li>9. The chapters, materials and methods and results have been presented in an exemplary manner.</li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Kannan K
Department, University & Country	Garden City University, India