

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_117460
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE AND PERCEPTION OF PROSTATE CANCER AMONG MALES IN RURAL COMMUNITIES IN ESAN NORTH EAST LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF EDO STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but requires spell- and grammar-check</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>It contains a great amount of labour works.</p> <p>This MS describes a great amount of labor works, and I was impressed the efforts of the authors and involved workers. There seems to be so many difficulties in reality to provide solutions to the people with risk of prostate cancer in this area, but we should start from somewhere. I would like to encourage the authors by saying loudly "never give up". The situation of prostate cancer in these areas in the MS is much different from my country, but I personally expect The strategy for efficient treatment in the next MS.</p> <p>This MS is all right to be accepted, but please check spelling and grammar by someone before making galley.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manami Tanaka
Department, University & Country	Tokyo Branch of Bradeion Institute for Medical Sciences, Japan