

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_119972</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL OF INDUSTRIAL AND NON- INDUSTRIAL AREA'S FARMERS FIELD OF NALAGARH TEHSIL, DISTRICT SOLAN, HIMANCHAL PRADESH</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The industrial pollution became global phenomena and the collected data help the specialists to make the best decision to save the agricultural soils and the paper discussed this phenomena.</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>The abstract is too long and must compressed for little notes</p> <p>The paper composed of several parts arranged as follows; abstract, introduction, materials and methods, result and discussion, comment and finally is the references.</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>It is sufficient for the paper</p> <p>The comment part is missing and the author must explain the aim of his survey for both industrial and non industrial soils</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	ok	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>C mol(p<sup>+</sup>) kg<sup>-1</sup></b> should change to mg/kg.</p> <p><b>Toth and Prince and Lindsay and Norvell (1978) at 0-15 and 30-45 cm depths</b> must change to Toth , Prince , Lindsay and Norvell (1978) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm depths.</p> <p><b>Bulk density (mg/m<sup>3</sup>)</b> to kg/m<sup>3</sup>.</p> <p><b>Particle density (mg/m<sup>3</sup>)</b> to kg/m<sup>3</sup>.</p> <p>In table (2) the sand percent must be fractionated to fine sand% and coarse sand%</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seiam Hassan Abd Elghany Seiam
Department, University & Country	Agriculture Research Center, Egypt