

"A Study on the Influence of Silicon Supplementation on Yield Attributes of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* Mill.) Var. SL-12"

Commented [BS1]: The title can be shortened. Stating the variety in the title might not be necessary especially for an international audience.

ABSTRACT

The present investigation entitled "A Study on the Influence of Silicon Supplementation on Yield Attributes of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* Mill.) Var. SL-12" was carried out at Hi-Tech Unit, Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur. The fourteen treatments for the tomato crop were evaluated with three replications under randomized block design.

Commented [BS2]: Abstracts, normally don't have paragraphs so they might need to be removed.

The results exhibit the significant effect of various treatments on growth, yield quality of tomato and their residual effect on different soil properties. Maximum number of clusters per plant (6.86), number of flowers per cluster (8.88), number of fruits per plant (27.73), fruit weight (83.47 g), fruit volume (77.28 cc), specific gravity (1.04 g/cc), fruit length (6.51 cm), yield per plant (1030.26 g), yield per plot (30.74 kg) and yield per ha (307.43 q/ha) was recorded with treatment T₉ (RDF + 25 t ha⁻¹ FYM + 100 kg ha⁻¹ Silicon through Diatomaceous earth). Maximum net return of 256182 found in T₉ and maximum B: C Ratio (3.88) was recorded with T₁₀.

Commented [BS3]: Using abbreviations that have not been defined in the abstract makes it difficult for the reader to understand the research and thus make a decision about whether to read the entire document or not.

Commented [BS4]: These abbreviations should be defined.

The study suggests using treatment T₉ for improved tomato yield and exploring silicon supplementation in cultivation practices. It emphasizes continuous soil monitoring and precision agriculture for sustainable production. Future research should focus on cost-effective methods, genetic studies and collaboration.

Commented [BS5]: This paragraph needs rewording for better clarity. The recommendations seem to go beyond the scope of the study.

Keywords: Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* Mill.), Silicon supplementation, Yield, Open field cultivation and Economic analysis

1. Introduction:

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* Mill.) is one of the most economically important vegetable crops worldwide, valued for its nutritional significance and culinary versatility. Its cultivation faces various challenges, including pest attacks, diseases and environmental stresses,

Commented [BS6]: I find the justification incomplete. There is no evidence of a gap in the narrative that the research seeks to address. As presented, it would seem that the effects of silicon on tomatoes is already known. In other words, the author fails to explicitly point out what the study seeks to solve/address.

which can significantly impact yield and quality. In recent years, there has been growing interest in exploring innovative agricultural practices to enhance tomato production sustainably.

Silicon, an abundant element in the Earth's crust, has been recognized for its beneficial effects on plant growth, development and stress tolerance. Incorporating silicon supplementation into tomato cultivation practices has emerged as a promising strategy to improve yield, quality and resilience to biotic and abiotic stresses. Silicon's role in enhancing plant vigor, nutrient uptake and defense mechanisms against pathogens and environmental stresses makes it an attractive option for optimizing tomato production.

Commented [BS7]: Cite sources for these claims/statements.

This study aims to investigate the effects of silicon supplementation on the yield of tomato. By evaluating different silicon application methods and doses, along with the integration of organic amendments like farmyard manure (FYM) and inorganic fertilizers, this research seeks to elucidate the optimal practices for maximizing tomato productivity while maintaining soil health and sustainability.

Understanding the impact of silicon supplementation on tomato yield parameters, fruit characteristics and economic viability is essential for guiding farmers towards adopting efficient and environmentally friendly cultivation practices. By elucidating the mechanisms underlying silicon-mediated improvements in tomato performance, this study contributes to the development of science-based strategies for enhancing crop productivity and ensuring food security in tomato farming systems.

2. Material method

Commented [BS8]: Materials and Methods

2.1 Location of experimental site:

The experiment was conducted at open field of Hi-Tech Horticulture Unit, Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, which is situated in Udaipur at 24°35' N latitude 74°42' E longitude at 585.17 meters above the mean sea level.

2.2 Climate and weather conditions:

The site of experiment is situated in agro climate Zone IV a (~~Sub-humid Southern Plain and Aravali Hills Region~~) of Rajasthan is sub-tropical and semi-arid climate characterized by moderate summers and mild winters. The average rainfall of the area is 830

Formatted: Strikethrough

mm per year. More than 90% rainfall received from south-west monsoon and generally occurs during mid-June to end of September. Mean weekly weather parameters data received from AICRP, Meteorology, Department of Agronomy during the experimental period (October, 2020 to March, 2021).

2.3 Soil of the experimental location:

To assess the fertility and physicochemical properties of the soil, samples were collected from the experimental site using spades, hand hoes, and screw augers, reaching a depth of 30 cm. These samples were then analyzed to determine the initial status of various soil parameters including pH, electrical conductivity (EC), organic carbon content, nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K). This comprehensive analysis was conducted prior to commencing the experiment to establish baseline soil conditions.

2.3 Treatments for tomato are given below with their notations:-

Notation	Treatments
T ₁	: Control
T ₂	: RDF (120:80:80)
T ₃	: 25 tonnes FYM/ ha
T ₄	: 20 kg/ha Silicon through Diatomaceous earth
T ₅	: 25 tonnes FYM/ha + 40 kg/ha Silicon through Diatomaceous earth
T ₆	: RDF + 25 tonnes FYM
T ₇	: RDF + 25 tonnes FYM/ha + 60 kg/ha Silicon through Diatomaceous earth
T ₈	: RDF+ 25 tonnes FYM/ha + 80 kg/ha Silicon through Diatomaceous earth
T ₉	: RDF+ 25 tonnes FYM/ha + 100 kg/ha Silicon through Diatomaceous earth
T ₁₀	: RDF+ Silicon 2% through Diatomaceous earth
T ₁₁	: RDF + 25 tonnes FYM/ha + Silicon 2% through Diatomaceous earth
T ₁₂	: RDF + 25 tonnes FYM/ha + Silicon 4% through Diatomaceous earth
T ₁₃	: RDF + 25 tonnes FYM/ha + Silicon 6% through Diatomaceous earth

Commented [BS9]: This is not part of describing the site but about data collection and should thus be in the section on data collection.

Commented [BS10]: There is need to be more explicit in describing how the experiment was done. For example, how were the samples actually collected? There a numerous methods stated which one was used? Were the samples made into a composite sample or something else? How exactly were the parameters measured? Which methods and/or apparatus were used?

Commented [BS11]: What is RDF? The author should not assume that the international audience understands his abbreviations. It is normal practice to define abbreviations at their first appearance.

Commented [BS12]: It would be expected that the farm yard manure should have been characterized in term of its nutrient content and other pertinent parameters. In a study that hinges on nutrient availability there is need to know that contribution of each amendment for correct interpretation of findings. The author should provide detail of how the manure was prepared and the results of its nutrient analysis.

Commented [BS13]: What does this expression mean?

Commented [BS14]: Also, how does this differ from expressing in tonnes per hectare. The author needs to explain this notation

T₁₄ : RDF + 25 tonnes FYM/ha + Silicon 8% through Diatomaceous earth

2.4 Details of crop operations for tomato:-

The experiment used tomato variety "SL-12" with a determinate hybrid with good foliage, red fruit color and good firmness. Seedlings were sown on 14th October 2020 in plastic pro-trays with rooting media mixtures. Field preparation involved preparing a plot of 4.0 m x 2.50 m size and applying FYM, RDF and Silicon doses. Transplanting was done four weeks old and fertilizers were applied according to treatment. Intercultural operations were kept weed-free and harvesting began 60-70 days after transplanting.

2.5 Methodology used for observation recorded

For recording the observations on different aspects of the study, five plants were randomly selected in each plot and were tagged. The study assessed various yield attributes of tomato plants under different treatments. These attributes included the number of clusters per plant, the number of flowers per cluster, the number of fruits per plant, fruit weight, fruit volume, specific gravity, fruit length, yield per plant, yield per plot and yield per hectare. The evaluation of the most profitable treatment combinations was based on the relative economics, considering net returns and net returns per rupee investment. The cost of cultivation encompassed expenses such as field preparation, seeds, fertilizers, irrigation, labor and transportation. Gross returns were estimated from the total yield per hectare and net returns were calculated by subtracting the total cost of cultivation per hectare. The net returns per rupee investment (B: C ratio) were determined by dividing net returns by the total cost of cultivation per hectare. This comprehensive analysis aimed to identify the most economically viable treatment strategies for tomato cultivation.

2.6 Statistical Analysis

The data recorded for the evaluation of different parameters was statistical analyzed using standard procedure for ANOVA of Randomized Block Design in order to test the significance of experimental results. The analysis of variance was done by the method suggested by Fisher (1954) and using analysis as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

3. Result and discussion

3.1 Yield attributes

Commented [BS15]: This description is inadequate because it leaves out a lot of pertinent information such as exactly how many plots were there and the number of plants in the plot. Is "4.0 m x 2.50 m" the size of the whole experimental plot or the size of the plot for each treatment?

Commented [BS16]: What method of randomization was used? Since this was an open field experiment how were edge effects handled in the selection of the plants for measuring parameters of interest?

Commented [BS17]: Economic analysis should have been treated under its own sub-heading but it would seem that economic analysis is outside the main thrust of the research as the title doesn't capture it nor does the justification of the study.

Commented [BS18]: Given the fact that the research has some treatments that that consist of a mixture of what would be treatments, one would think that a factorial design would enable for the proper analysis of the interaction of these.

Commented [BS19]: IT is more effective to use visually appealing ways of presenting data such as charts and graphs.

The investigation into the effects of various treatments on tomato growth parameters demonstrated significant outcomes, with treatment T9 consistently yielding superior results across multiple metrics. T9, which involved the application of RDF along with 25 t ha⁻¹ FYM and 100 kg ha⁻¹ Silicon through Diatomaceous earth, exhibited remarkable performance in enhancing fruit yield and quality. The number of clusters per plant reached a maximum of 6.86, showing a substantial increase compared to controls and other treatments. Similarly, the number of flowers per cluster and fruits per plant were significantly higher under T9, with increases ranging from 4.89 percent to 42.20 percent compared to controls and other treatments. Moreover, T9 produced the highest fruit weight (83.47 g), fruit volume (77.82 cc), specific gravity (1.04 g/cc), fruit length (6.51 cm), yield per plant (1030.26 g), yield per plot (30.74 kg) and yield per hectare (307.43 q). These values represented significant improvements over controls and other treatments, with increases ranging from 7.58 percent to 87.76 percent. Additionally, T9 demonstrated favorable economic outcomes, with a maximum net return of ₹ 256182 and a B:C Ratio of 3.88, highlighting its efficiency in enhancing both productivity and profitability in tomato cultivation under open conditions.

The results of the present investigation showed that response of various treatments on different yield contributing parameters. The results revealed that the application of (RDF + 25 t ha⁻¹ FYM + 100 kg ha⁻¹ Silicon through Diatomaceous earth), recorded the maximum number of clusters per plant (6.86), number of flowers per cluster (8.88), number of fruits per plant (27.33), fruit weight (83.47 g), fruit volume (77.72 cc), specific gravity (1.04), fruit length (6.51 cm), yield per plant (1069.72 g), yield per plot (36.31 kg) and yield per ha (363.10 q/ha) may be due to increased dose of RDF, FYM and silicon treatment respectively result are in conformity with finding of Prativa and Bhattarai 2011, Cuong *et al.* 2017 and Chumayani *et al.* 2012.

The higher values of all the yield contributing parameter by the application of RDF + 25 t ha⁻¹ FYM + 100 kg ha⁻¹ Silicon through Diatomaceous earth, might be due to the nutrient supply by inorganic fertilizers during initial growth period and sustained supply of nutrient and congenial condition by FYM and induced tolerance against biotic and abiotic stress by Silicon.

Further, the better plant growth provide greater site for photosynthesis and translocation of photosynthates from source to sink (leaves to fruits) as observed by Tekale *et al.* 2017.

Commented [BS20]: It would be more structured and easier to follow if the different findings were presented/discussed under distinct sub-headings

Commented [BS21]: There is no evidence presented that would support these assumptions. There was no detail describing the control of pests and weeds. There is no evidence for increased pest/weed infestation on the other treatments. How then does the author highlight biotic tolerance of the said treatment?

Organic sources provides all major and minor growth nutrient and also improve physico-chemical and biological properties of soil which helps plant root to proliferates, resulting in larger coverage of rhizosphere area and better uptake of nutrients. Higher fruit weight might be due to solubilization effect of plant nutrients by addition of FYM leading to increased uptake of N, P and K as observed by Prativa and Bhattarai 2011. Findings of Singh *et al.* 2017 was also in conformity as they reported greatest impact on number of fruits per plant and yield per hectare in tomato with the application of organic manures. Same trend were also observed by Meena and Verma 2019 while working with tomato with the application of vermicompost.

Commented [BS22]: As already pointed out, the FYM was not analysed to support this claim. Actually, majority of the claims for explanation cited are not supported because the factors responsible for them were not measured nor inferred.

The application of NPK favored the metabolic and auxin activity which accelerate the photosynthetic rate and in turn increased the supply of carbohydrates in plants and ultimately resulted in increased fruit size, number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight, yield per plant and yield per hectare Everaarts and Boou 2000.

Commented [BS23]: The author's arguments for the observed results are not supported by any evidence. The claim for increased photosynthesis and translocation is not support by, maybe increased leaf area index. There are no parameters measured that justify this claim.

Commented [BS24]: There is no mention of the application of NPK anywhere in the document before this point. Again, this shows that the description given in the Materials and Methods section is inadequate.

Commented [BS25]: There is need to properly cite sources.

Further the application of Silicon has direct or indirect positive effects on the physiology and metabolism of crop plants. Increase in yield attributes of tomato due to silicon application might be due to higher photosynthetic activity of plant, more formation of carbohydrates and more uptakes of other nutrients. Similar results were also noticed by Falah, 2013. Results also revealed that silicon addition helped plant growth, which might be due to the increased synthesis of photosynthates by the silicon addition and it was exerted in the increased number of branches and the reduction of pest and disease infestation. These result corroborated the findings of Buck *et al.*, 2008 and Prakash *et al.*, 2011. Similar results were observed by Lavinsky *et al.*, 2016 who reported that the contribution of carbohydrates from photosynthetic activity for longer period might have resulted in efficient translocation of food material into the sink (grain) thereby increased the number of filled grains percentage. This might be due to increased synthesis of carbohydrates and that might have increased the sink size and capacity. Silicon application, that may significantly reduce empty spikelet's number in wheat and increase fertility, increased spikelets per year that ultimately increased crop yield. soundharya 2019 in tomato, Priya *et al.* 2015 in potato and Vashi *et al.* 2019 in okra also confirm the results of increased performance of thr crop by the application of Silicon.

Commented [BS26]: There is need to properly cite sources.

Commented [BS27]: There is need to properly cite sources.

Commented [BS28]: These results are not related to this study. If they are, the link between them and this study has been left unexplained.

Commented [BS29]: There is need to properly cite sources.

UNDER PEER REVIEW




Table 1 Effect of Inorganic fertilizer, FYM and Silicon on Number of clusters per plant, Number of flowers per cluster, Number of fruits per plant, Fruit weight (g), Fruit volume (cc), Specific gravity (g/cc), Fruit length (cm), Yield per plant (g), Yield per plot (kg) and Yield (q per ha) of tomato.

Treatments	Number of clusters per plant	Number of flowers per cluster	Number of fruits per plant	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit volume (cc)	Specific gravity (g/cc)	Fruit length (cm)	Yield per plant (g)	Yield per plot (kg)	Yield (q per ha)
T₁ : Control	3.97	5.95	16.09	62.74	66.92	0.90	4.48	494.70	16.37	163.73
T₂ : RDF (120:80:80 kg/ha NPK)	5.54	6.67	19.50	66.05	71.83	0.94	5.01	599.98	20.40	203.99
T₃ : 25 tonnes FYM /ha	4.40	6.18	18.04	64.48	68.83	0.92	4.72	570.99	19.41	194.13
T₄ : 20 kg/ ha Silicon through Diatomaceous earth	4.49	6.27	17.77	63.18	68.04	0.94	4.76	508.33	16.56	165.63
T₅ : 25 tonnes FYM / ha + 40 kg /ha Silicon through Diatomaceous earth	4.97	6.56	20.15	67.58	71.65	0.94	5.20	667.26	22.69	226.87
T₆ : RDF + 25 tonnes FYM	5.43	7.12	22.75	72.61	75.37	0.96	5.74	809.22	27.31	273.06
T₇ : RDF + 25 tonnes FYM/ ha + 60 kg/ ha Silicon through Diatomaceous earth	5.95	7.72	24.18	75.93	76.71	0.99	6.04	899.73	27.94	279.40
T₈ : RDF+ 25 tonnes FYM / ha + 80 kg /ha Silicon through Diatomaceous earth	6.26	7.97	24.76	78.00	77.72	1.00	6.11	928.73	29.67	296.74
T₉ : RDF+ 25 tonnes FYM / ha + 100 kg /ha Silicon through Diatomaceous earth	6.86	8.88	27.73	83.47	77.82	1.04	6.51	1030.26	30.74	307.43

Commented [BS30]: It would be more effective to use charts and graphs to present the findings. The table lacks the proper symbols and statistics to indicate significant differences between the treatments.

T₁₀ : RDF+ Silicon 2% through Diatomaceous earth	5.29	7.01	22.31	68.17	71.52	0.97	5.40	745.36	25.34	253.42
T₁₁: RDF + 25 tonnes FYM /ha + Silicon 2% through Diatomaceous earth	5.63	7.40	23.26	71.80	74.03	0.97	5.61	818.62	27.83	278.33
T₁₂ : RDF + 25 tonnes FYM/ha + Silicon 4% through Diatomaceous earth	5.81	7.53	23.52	73.07	74.56	0.96	5.82	841.78	27.97	279.74
T₁₃: RDF + 25 tonnes FYM/ha + Silicon 6% through Diatomaceous earth	6.22	8.12	24.94	74.03	73.06	1.01	6.18	904.78	29.21	292.13
T₁₄ : RDF + 25 tonnes FYM/ha + Silicon 8% through Diatomaceous earth	6.54	8.41	25.29	80.47	76.12	1.01	6.40	969.84	29.86	298.60
SEm±	0.17	0.17	0.66	1.50	1.32	0.01	0.12	22.44	0.937	8.842
CD at 5 %	0.49	0.50	1.93	4.36	3.86	0.02	0.34	65.23	2.723	25.704

4. Conclusion

The investigation into the effects of various treatments on tomato growth parameters, particularly focusing on the impact of Silicon, revealed significant outcomes. Treatment T9, comprising RDF along with 25 t ha⁻¹ FYM and 100 kg ha⁻¹ Silicon through Diatomaceous earth, consistently demonstrated superior results across multiple yield attributes. This treatment led to significant increases in the number of clusters per plant, number of flowers per cluster, number of fruits per plant, fruit weight, fruit volume, specific gravity, fruit length, yield per plant, yield per plot and yield per hectare. These improvements ranged from 7.58 percent to 87.76 percent compared to controls and other treatments, indicating the substantial efficacy of Silicon supplementation in tomato cultivation. The observed enhancements in yield and quality parameters can be attributed to the synergistic effects of RDF, FYM and Silicon, which collectively contributed to improved nutrient supply, enhanced plant growth and increased tolerance against biotic and abiotic stresses. Additionally, the application of Silicon facilitated greater photosynthetic activity, increased carbohydrate synthesis and improved translocation of nutrients, ultimately leading to enhanced crop performance. The findings of this study align with previous research indicating the positive influence of Silicon on various crops, further emphasizing its potential as a beneficial supplement in agricultural practices. Overall, the results underscore the importance of Silicon application in optimizing tomato productivity and profitability under open field conditions, thus offering valuable insights for improving tomato cultivation strategies.

5. Recommendations:

The study recommends farmers to adopt treatment T9, which includes RDF, 25 t ha⁻¹ FYM and 100 kg ha⁻¹ Silicon through Diatomaceous earth, for improved tomato growth, yield and quality. Farmers should also explore the integration of silicon supplementation into their tomato cultivation practices to enhance productivity and resilience to stress. Continuous monitoring of soil properties is crucial for sustainable tomato production. Precision agriculture techniques can optimize resource use efficiency and minimize environmental impacts. Further research on silicon application methods is needed to optimize silicon utilization. Farmers should have access to information and training programs on silicon supplementation benefits. Economic viability assessments should

Commented [BS31]: I think that a factorial design would lead to more definitive conclusions.

be conducted to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of different treatment options. Continuous monitoring and evaluation are essential for long-term effects on soil health, yield sustainability and economic returns.

Commented [BS32]: These recommendations go beyond the scope of the findings of the study and are thus not supported by the study. Recommendations should be directly inferred from the study and its direct findings.

Future thrust

Research on silicon-rich amendments in tomato cultivation should focus on cost-effective and environmentally sustainable alternatives. Genetic studies should be conducted to improve silicon uptake efficiency in tomato plants. Integrated nutrient management strategies should be explored, combining silicon supplementation with other fertilizers. Precision silicon application technologies can facilitate precise application of silicon in tomato fields. Climate-smart silicon management practices are needed to mitigate heat, drought, pest and disease pressures. Silicon supplementation can provide ecosystem services like soil carbon sequestration, water retention, biodiversity conservation and climate resilience. Collaboration between researchers, extension services, policymakers and farmers is essential for effective knowledge transfer. The economic and social implications of adopting silicon supplementation in tomato farming systems should also be investigated.

References

- Buck, G. B., Korndorfer, G. H., Nolla, A. and Coelho, L. 2008. Potassium silicate as foliar spray and rice blast control. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **31**: 231-37.
- Chumyani, K.S.P., Singh, V.B. and Singh, A.K. 2012. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). *Journal of Soil and Crops*, **22**: 65-71.
- Cuong, T.X., Ullah, H., Datta, A. and Hanh, T.C., 2017. Effects of silicon-based fertilizer on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of rice in tropical zone of Vietnam. *Rice Science*, **24**: 283-290.
- Jarosz, Z. 2014. The effect of silicon application and type of medium on yielding and chemical composition of tomato. *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Hortorum Cultus*, **13**: 171-183.

- Lavinsky, A. O., Detmann, K. C., Reis, J. V., Ávila, R. T., Sanglard, M. L., Pereira, L. F., ... & DaMatta, F. M. (2016). Silicon improves rice grain yield and photosynthesis specifically when supplied during the reproductive growth stage. *Journal of plant physiology*, **206**, 125-132.
- Prakash, N. B., Chandrashekar, N., Mahendra, C., Patil, S. U., Thippeshappa, G. N. and Laane, H. M. 2011. Effect of foliar spray of soluble silicic acid on growth and yield parameters of wetland rice in hilly and coastal zone soils of Karnataka. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **34**: 1883-1893.
- Prativa, K.C. and Bhattarai, B.P. 2011. Effect of integrated nutrient management on the growth, yield and soil nutrient status in tomato. *Nepal Journal of Science and Technology*, **12**: 23-28.
- Priya, R., Jegadeeswari, V. and Selvaraj, N. 2015. Effect of Diatomaceous Earth as Silicon Source on Yield and Quality of Potato. *Trends in Biosciences* **8**: 36-41.
- Singh, R.K., Dixit, P.S. and Singh, M.K. 2017. Effect of bio fertilizers and organic manures on growth, yield and quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) Cv. Arka Vikas. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **6**: 1793-1795.
- Soundharya, N., Srinivasan, S., Sivakumar, T. and Kamalkumaran, P. 2019. Effect of foliar application of nutrients and silicon on yield and quality traits of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.). *International Journal of Pure Bioscience*, **7**: 526-531.
- Tekale, G.S., Saravaiya, S.N., Jadhav, P.B., Tekale, C.D. and Patel, R.P., 2017. Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) on nutrient availability, uptake and yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) cv. "Gujrat Tomato-2". *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **6**: 864-874.
- Vashi, J.M., Tandel, B.M., Saravaiya, S.N., Patel, A.I. and Chaudhari B.N. 2019. Response of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) to Foliar Application of Silicon. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. **8**: 1277-1282.