

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_119182
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE OF WHEAT GROWERS REGARDING IMPROVED WHEAT PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGY IN JAMUI DISTRICT OF BIHAR
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure that the abstract provides a clear and concise summary of the study, including objectives, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. Avoid using jargon or technical terms that may not be familiar to all readers <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly state the research problem and the significance of the study. Include relevant background information and a brief literature review to contextualize the research. State the research objectives and questions clearly. <p>Methodology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe the research design and methods in detail to ensure reproducibility. Explain the selection criteria for participants, including how wheat growers were chosen. Provide details on data collection methods (e.g., surveys, interviews) and any tools or instruments used. Discuss the data analysis techniques and justify their appropriateness for the study. <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Present the findings in a clear and logical manner, using tables, charts, or graphs as needed to illustrate key points. Ensure that all figures and tables are clearly labeled and referenced in the text. Highlight significant results and trends related to the knowledge of wheat growers regarding improved wheat production technology. <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interpret the results in the context of the research objectives and questions. Compare your findings with those from other studies and discuss any similarities or differences. Address the implications of your findings for wheat production practices and policy in the Jamui district. Discuss any limitations of the study and suggest areas for future research. <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Summarize the main findings of the study and their implications. Provide recommendations based on the research results, targeting stakeholders such as farmers, policymakers, and agricultural extension services. Avoid introducing new information or arguments in the conclusion. <p>References</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure that all sources cited in the text are included in the reference list and formatted consistently. Follow the citation style appropriate for your field (e.g., APA, MLA, Chicago). Make sure all abbreviations and acronyms are defined when first used. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>General Comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proofread the article for grammatical errors and typos. Use clear and concise language throughout the paper. Ensure that the writing is objective and free from bias. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Mehran Anjum
Department, University & Country	AGROSCOPE, Switzerland