

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JEAI_119182   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | KNOWLEDGE OF WHEAT GROWERS REGARDING IMPROVED WHEAT PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGY IN JAMUI DISTRICT OF BIHAR |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. Is the manuscript important to the scientific community?<br/><b>YES A SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTION</b></p> <p>2. Is the title of the article appropriate?<br/><b>THE TITLE IS ACCORDING TO THE ARTICLE, A PRECISE TITLE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE ARTICLE</b></p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article complete?<br/><b>IT IS WELL DEVELOPED, AND GIVES US THE IDEA OF WHAT WE WILL READ IN THE ARTICLE</b></p> <p>4. Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?<br/><b>IT IS A VERY WELL DEVELOPED MANUSCRIPT, WITH SUBTITLES THAT GUIDE DEEPER IDEAS OF THE TEXT</b></p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?<br/><b>FULLY</b></p> <p>7. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have any suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.<br/><b>MEETS WITH THE MINIMUM OF WHAT IS SUGGESTED, WELL</b></p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  | YES  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | FINALLY ADD THAT IT IS A MANUSCRIPT THAT CONTRIBUTES   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Jose Manuel Salum Tome</b>                |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Universidad Católica de Temuco, Chile</b> |