

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119771
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Virulence Genes in Non-Typhoidal Salmonella Isolated from Layers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript contains important scientific information for the scientific community because it provides scientific data on the presence of virulence genes in NTS poultry isolates and outlines the basic steps for identifying these genes using conventional PCR. This descriptive cross-sectional study raises awareness about the transmission of virulence between Salmonella species, which can lead to zoonotic Salmonellosis.</p> <p>2. The title needs to change as indicated in the comment 1 of the reviewed manuscript.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>5. This manuscript is scientifically correct with cited references. Improvements can be done addressing the reviewer's comments.</p> <p>6. 6. The references are sufficient and recent. Suggested an important reference to make this manuscript more descriptive.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of Scholarly English can be improved using Grammarly.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It would have been better if provided the target gene, sequence, amplicon size (bp), thermal profile, and reference in a tabulated form.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manosha Perera
Department, University & Country	Menzies Institute of Health, Griffith University, Australia