

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JABB_119771 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Prevalence of Virulence Genes in Non-Typhoidal Salmonella Isolated from Layers |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>The work has importance in the scientific community. Establishes alert states on salmonellosis based on the presence of pathogens in layers.</p> <p>We suggest that the title be added to the place where the work is done. Example: "Prevalence of Virulence Genes in Non-Typhoidal Salmonella Isolated from Layer in Pantnagar, India" It must be governed by the writing rules: See comments below.</p> <p>It is appropriate, although we suggest that the text have a logical and continuous sequence. It gives the impression of being loose notes gathered together with their corresponding quotes. It is a job without complexities. It is simple and well done, with the exception of what is noted above.</p> <p>The references are abundant and very current</p> <p>Keep in mind that the Summary cannot become a summary of summaries. If headings are removed, a Summary approximation can be reached. The Summary is an explanatory, coherent, concrete and attractive text. It is not the summary sum of each section of the work</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | The English language is acceptable. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | The work can be published as long as the established suggestions are rectified. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name: | Luis Remedios Hernández |
| Department, University & Country | Cuba |