

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_118703
Title of the Manuscript:	Agroclimatic Drought Analysis In Mahasamund District of Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The article has not been revealed important level in scientific community yet</p> <p>It is okay</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language editing is recommended</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Abstract: it has not revealed method, research goals, and particular research results. Where is climatic resilience on agriculture? And how is it tested with farmer's adaptability? The article has not been presented these significant yet.</p> <p>2. Introduction: it has not presented research overview of authors in the world about agroclimatic drought; without research citation of articles. Research objective moves to method part</p> <p>3. Methodology: lacking particular method in this research</p> <p>4. Results: needing to supplement more results and assess those results</p> <p>5. Choosing rainfall data, needing to collect unified data in the same time, ex: 1990-2023. With different rainfall data period, it cannot be compared between blocks</p> <p>6. All the table has not showed units and annotation; References have not citation in the article</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Minh Thu Nguyen
Department, University & Country	Vietnam Institute of Meteorology Hydrology and Climate Change, Vietnam