

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_116737
Title of the Manuscript:	FUNCTIONAL EFFECT OF WOOD ASH ON SOIL QUALITY
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The MS describing the effect of wood ash application on the soil properties after its application. The MS need through revision to clear all lacunas. Yes Abstract: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The EC values and temperature before and after mineralization given in the abstract were same; how they are different from each other? Abstract is lengthy and need to reduce; Avoid writing of non-significant results in the abstract and write only significant and important findings. Yes Statistical design used and information related with arrangement of experiment is missing in MS. References: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strictly follow the reference writing style of the journal for both citing the references in the text and enlisting them in the reference list. References are too many in numbers, reduce it to the needful (25-30). Avoid citing too old references until is necessary. <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Write the need of adding amendments in soil for maintaining its fertility condition in 1-2 sentences with 1-2 references. Avoid citing old references until it is necessary and no any substitutes are available. Write the research gap in more elaborative manner. <p>Material and methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check the depth of soil sample collection; Is it open field experiment (pot were kept in open environment) OR pots were kept in controlled environment: Mention clearly. Write about the climatic condition of the location of experimentation. <p>Results and discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoid explaining the non-significant results and discussion for the same parameters; Write only significant findings. Both N and P showed reduced due to 5 5 AND 10 5 WA application; What author want to convey is not clear? Is WA application is good or not. Avoid unnecessary citing of references and discussion should be for the study and not citing the findings of other researchers. <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Write the conclusion in quantifiable terms such as % increase/decrease in selected parameters due to treatment applied. Avoid writing generalized statement in conclusion. Address the objectives of the study in conclusion. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	-	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	-	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amit A. Shahane
Department, University & Country	Central Agricultural University, India