

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_120004
Title of the Manuscript:	Microalgae Incorporated in Bakery Products: Application to Millet Pretzel
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is significant for the scientific community, particularly for researchers working in environmental science and green chemistry.</p> <p>2. The title "Microalgae Incorporated in Bakery Products: Application to Millet Pretze" is suitable as it accurately reflects the main focus and methods used in the study.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive, summarizing the background, methodology, key results, and conclusions effectively.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate. It includes essential sections such as Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion, and Conclusion, which are standard and necessary for scientific reporting.</p> <p>5. Based on the provided sections, the manuscript seems scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1- The abstract is well-written and provides a clear overview of the main topics. However, to enhance clarity and precision, consider specifying the objectives or research questions that the review aims to address. This would help readers better understand the focus and purpose of the review from the outset.</p> <p>2- The introduction is also not targeted to the important aspects described in the manuscript; please rephrase.</p> <p>3- Please rephrase the conclusion.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamed Aghazadeh
Department, University & Country	University of Tehran, Iran