

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_EJNFS_119198 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Aquatic insects consumed in Togo: Diversity and nutritional potential |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consider including a comprehensive list of insect categories specifically found in the studied water bodies. It would also be beneficial to specify the number of water bodies sampled and provide a detailed explanation of the sampling techniques employed. 2. Please consider discussing any previous research conducted on these insects within the water bodies studied in your research. Additionally, it would be valuable to explore any literature or insights regarding the perceptions of local communities regarding the consumption of these insects. 3. Please provide precise details regarding the sampling technique used during the pre-survey phase, including how the number of samples was determined. Additionally, clarity is needed on why interviews were chosen as the data collection tool. Addressing these aspects will enhance the transparency and rigor of your study 4. Under section 2.3 (Biochemical assays), subtitle 'Experimental Procedures', please present the experimental procedures in paragraph form. 5. I cannot discern any optimization in the experimental section. It is unclear whether you adapted procedures directly from other research. Please clarify the reliability of the data obtained through this approach. Clear evidence in these regards would enhance the transparency and robustness of your study <p>➤ Based on my evaluation, recommend major revisions to improve the manuscript.</p> | |
| <p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| <p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p> | | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Siyum Shewakena |
| Department, University & Country | Graduate Institute of Applied Science and Technology, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taiwan |