

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_EJNFS_119198</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Aquatic insects consumed in Togo: Diversity and nutritional potential</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Peer review</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes, it is a very important bringing the foods that are eaten in different areas to improve the food and nutritional security of the population. It is encouraged to disseminate such experiences to different areas to improve the accessibility of food and nutrition.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes, but few comments to include “while writing the abstract, it is better to go from general to specific and vice versa”.</b></p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, the references were not sufficient but I guess there were no many articles and journals have not been conducted on this issue. Even though not sufficient, it is good to conduct research on such areas and is appreciable.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English is not bad, but needs some improvements while reporting the issue especially in the Abstract part of the manuscript</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>The article is well articulated and it is the area for the research gaps. More is needed to conduct research on this area</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abebe Bekere Kumssa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wollega University, Ethiopia</b>