

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_119836
Title of the Manuscript:	Accessing the Impact of Unverified Instagram Blog Content on Undergraduates: A Case Study at Obafemi Awolowo University (OAU)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Manuscripts are important for the scientific community. The article should be such that the importance of the idea, its benefits, and knowledge can be seen, using clear language, and covering topics) such as "Access to Unverified Instagram Content Blocks Impact of Undergraduates: A Case Study at Obafemi Awolowo University" The abstract will have a strict word limit. So, it is typically 200-250 words. The abstract will appear in a structured abbreviation and mention the importance or problems, objectives, methods, results, and benefits obtained from the study, with brevity, clarity, comprehensiveness, and completeness. The conducting of the research, from explaining the research process in easy-to-understand language, while, explaining the sequential data collection and steps, and data analysis, leading to further research results. Discussion of results by explaining and elaborating the findings in a sequential and step-by-step manner, to support the credibility of the research. (consistency with past studies or academics from references), including a summary of issues that are impactful and beneficial to the effective application of the knowledge gained. The conclusion from summarizing the content of all findings, which should not be duplicative with the research results, but summarizing the issues and main points in line with the objectives. (Summarize things, clearly and comprehensively). Yes. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The reviewing in grammar.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pornchai Jedaman
Department, University & Country	Rajabath Maha Sarakham University, Thailand