

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_119836
Title of the Manuscript:	Accessing the Impact of Unverified Instagram Blog Content on Undergraduates: A Case Study at Obafemi Awolowo University (OAU)
Type of the Article	Case Study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript provides important insights into the impact of unverified Instagram blog content on undergraduate students. This is particularly relevant in the context of the increasing use of social media among younger generations and how unverified information may influence their perceptions and understanding.</p> <p>2. The title of the article reflects the content of the research. However, it can be improved to make it more interesting and descriptive, for example: "Analysis of the Impact of Unverified Instagram Blog Content on Undergraduate Students: A Case Study at Obafemi Awolowo University".</p> <p>3. The abstract of this article is quite comprehensive, providing an overview of the purpose, methodology, and main findings of the study. Some additional details regarding the implications of the results would have enriched the abstract.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is good with clear division of sub-chapters. Maybe a special section could be added that discusses verification methods that students can use to filter information from social media.</p> <p>5. This manuscript is scientifically sound, with appropriate methodology and data analysis that supports the conclusions drawn.</p> <p>6. The references used are sufficient and cover recent research. However, adding some recent references related to the impact of social media on students would provide useful additional context.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the English in this article is adequate for academic communication, but there are several sentences that could be simplified to improve clarity.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This article has great potential to contribute to the understanding of how unverified information from social media affects college students. It is recommended to expand the discussion on educational strategies to improve digital literacy among college students.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mila Fenika
Department, University & Country	State University of Jakarta, Indonesia