

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_119642
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Growth of G6 Transgenic Mutiara Catfish After Mixed Feeding of Snail Flour and Commercial Feed
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	<p>Reviewer's comment:</p> <p>There are several deficiencies, omissions and errors in this article;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Which snail species' flour? It should have been stated with the Latin term name. 2. No control meal. 3. The nutritional content of the prepared diet is missing. 4. Protein contents are missing. 5. Although shrimp flour was not used in the study, shrimp flour was written in the conclusion section. This is meaningless and indicates that the study was not written carefully enough. 6. There should be no identity of commercial flour in the summary. 7. Statistical analysis information should not be given in the summary. 8. The sources are outdated. 9. Growth parameters should have been in tabular form. <p>As a result; this article should be revised carefully.</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Reviewers comment:</p> <p>The use of English grammar and spelling rules in this article is not suitable enough for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Reviewers comment:</p> <p>Due to the deficiencies and errors given above, this article should be revised carefully.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Huriye Ariman Karabulut
Department, University & Country	Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Turkey