

Genetic analysis of heat stress tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) using Line x Tester mating design

Abstract

Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is a critical cereal crop, providing sustenance for over 35% of the global population. Bread wheat possesses remarkable adaptability to diverse climates and soil types. However, heat stress, exacerbated by global climate change, poses a significant threat to wheat production. Developing heat-tolerant wheat varieties is essential to ensuring food security. This study identified to identify genetic variance in heat tolerance through the Line × Tester analysis, a breeding tool that evaluates the combining ability of parental lines. The experimental material comprised 16 crosses derived from four high-yielding lines and four heat-tolerant testers. These were cultivated in Pantnagar, India, under late-sown conditions to replicate heat stress. Agronomic traits such as plant height, tiller number, grains per spike, days to maturity, and grain yield were evaluated. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to estimate general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA), providing insights into additive and non-additive genetic variances. Results indicated significant genetic variability among genotypes, with substantial non-additive genetic components influencing most traits. Plant height, for instance, demonstrated significant GCA and SCA variances, with SCA effects being more pronounced. Similarly, traits like the number of tillers per plant and grains per spike were predominantly controlled by non-additive genetic factors. The study revealed that hybrid combinations significantly influenced growth and yield traits, underscoring the importance of both GCA and SCA in breeding programs. The significant Line × Tester interactions suggest that specific combinations of parental lines and testers are crucial for achieving superior phenotypes. This study supports the notion that both additive and non-additive genetic effects are vital for crop improvement under heat stress, providing a robust foundation for future breeding programs aimed at enhancing wheat resilience to increasing temperatures.

Keywords: Additive Genetic Variance, Heat Stress, Hybridization, Line × Tester Analysis, Non-additive Genetic Variance, Wheat Breeding

Introduction

Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most crucial cereal crops globally, serving as a primary food source for over 35% of the world's population (FAO, 2023). This hexaploid species originated approximately 8,000-10,000 years ago in the Fertile Crescent region, through natural hybridization and subsequent polyploidization events involving three diploid progenitors: *T. urartu* (A genome), *Aegilops speltoides* (B genome), and *Aegilops tauschii* (D genome) (Marcussen *et al.* 2014). This unique genetic composition has endowed bread wheat with remarkable adaptability to diverse climatic conditions and a wide range of soil types, making it a staple in both temperate and subtropical regions (IWGSC, 2018).

Heat stress is a significant abiotic factor that adversely affects wheat production, particularly in the context of global climate change, which is anticipated to increase the frequency and intensity of heatwaves (IPCC, 2021). Developing heat stress-tolerant wheat lines is thus imperative for ensuring food security. Heat tolerance in wheat is a complex trait governed by multiple genes, influencing various physiological and biochemical pathways (Gupta *et al.* 2021). The importance of genetic diversity in developing climate-resilient crops is well realized (Smith *et al.* 2023; Zhang *et al.* 2024).

Breeding for heat tolerance involves the identification and incorporation of desirable traits from heat-tolerant lines into high-yielding cultivars. This can be effectively achieved through the Line × Tester

analysis, a powerful breeding tool used to evaluate the combining ability of different parental lines (Kempthorne, 1957). The approach helps in assessing the general combining ability (GCA) of parents and the specific combining ability (SCA) of crosses, providing insights into the additive and non-additive genetic variances influencing heat tolerance and yield traits (Griffing, 1956).

The percent contribution of each trait to the overall performance under heat stress is calculated to prioritize traits for selection [30,31,32,33]. Traits such as grain filling duration, canopy temperature depression, and chlorophyll content have been identified as critical determinants of heat tolerance in wheat (Reynolds *et al.* 2022). By leveraging the genetic diversity within wheat and employing advanced breeding techniques, it is possible to develop heat-tolerant, high-yielding wheat varieties that can sustain productivity under increasing temperature regimes.

This study was carried out by crossing heat stress tolerant genotypes with high yielding genotypes in line X tester mating design to identify the variability among different traits, general combining ability and specific combining ability variance and percent contribution of Lines and testers to traits under study.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Material: Experimental material consisted of 16 crosses derived from 4 lines and 4 testers crossed in Line x Tester fashion where each tester was crossed to each line. The lines and testers used in the study are: lines (female): CPAN3061, Sup132/BaJ, HD3098, PBW791 and testers (male): BRW3723, ATTILA/3*BCN/1, ATTILA*2/PBW65, HEILO//MILAN/.

The experiment was sown at Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre, GBPUAT, Pantnagar during *rabi* season 2021-22 to develop F₁ seeds which were sown along with parents in Randomized Block Design under late sown conditions in *rabi* season 2022-23 (15th December 2022) to replicate heat stress conditions. Pantnagar is situated at 29° N latitude and 79.30° E longitude, with an elevation of 243.84 meters, experiencing a humid subtropical climate. The region has hot, dry summers and cool winters, receiving an average annual rainfall of 1433.3 mm, mostly during the rainy season. The highest temperatures occur in May and June, while the lowest are in December and January. Relative humidity is high, between 80-90%, from mid-June to February, with occasional winter showers and frost usually in late December and sometimes January.

The plants were systematically planted in a designated plot, covering 2.70 square meters (3 meters × 0.9 meters) with four rows. The planting arrangement included a row-to-row distance of 30 cm and a plant-to-plant distance of 10 cm, achieved through thinning at 30 days post-sowing. All the standard agronomic practices were followed with strict weed control.

In Line × Tester analysis, selected heat-tolerant lines (testers) were crossed with high-yielding lines (lines) to generate F₁ progenies. These progenies were then evaluated for various agronomic traits under heat stress conditions. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to partition the total phenotypic variance into its components, allowing for the estimation of GCA and SCA effects. This statistical approach helped in identifying the proportion of variance attributable to each trait (Singh and Chaudhary, 1985).

Results and Discussion

The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) table for the wheat crop includes data on various growth and yield parameters. The source of variation, degrees of freedom (df), and mean sum of squares for plant height, number of tillers per plant, number of grains per spike, days to maturity, and grain yield per plant are analyzed under heat stress conditions (Table 1).

Plant Height (cm): Different genotypes showed highly significant variation (127.15**), indicating substantial genetic diversity among the genotypes studied. Significant variation among parents or plant height (49.45*), suggesting differences among the parental lines, similarly for lines, significant variation (147.56**) existed, highlighting the impact of different lines on plant height and also for testers (86.52**) was observed. Significant interaction for Line vs Tester (95.11**), Parents vs Crosses (140.80**) and crosses (152.70**) also existed.

Number of Tillers per Plant: Genotypes were highly significant (99.15**), indicating genetic differences in tiller production. Similarly, parents (12.07*) were also significant depicting variability among parent lines, along with lines (284.86**), Line vs Tester (14.13*), Parents vs Crosses (450.37**) and crosses (122.51**) were significant. However, testers were not significant for number of tillers per plant (10.88)

Number of Grains per Spike: Genotypes were highly significant (15.28**) for number of grains per spike, indicating genetic differences. Parents (6.79*), lines (47.87**), Line vs Tester (6.78*), Parents vs Crosses (96.97*) and crosses (16.02**) also showed significant variation for number of grains per spike.

Days to Maturity: Genotypes were highly significant (97.98**), showing genetic differences for days to maturity. Similarly, parents (10.84*), lines (398.90**), testers (22.44**), line vs tester (23.10**), parents vs crosses (770.77**) and crosses (109.77**) were significant for days to maturity, indicating presence of variation.

Grain Yield per Plant (g): Genotypes were highly significant (235.62**), showing substantial genetic differences for grain yield per plant. Similarly, parents (7.31**), lines (277.61**), testers (47.49**), line vs tester (103.13**), parents vs crosses (667.54**) and crosses (300.65**) were significant for the trait under study, highlighting presence of sufficient genetic variability.

The results indicate that genotype, lines, and their interactions have a highly significant impact on most growth and yield parameters of wheat. These findings are consistent with previous research indicating the substantial role of genetic diversity and hybridization in crop improvement. Studies by Fischer *et al.* (2014) and USDA (2021) support the significant impact of genetic variability on plant height and grain yield. They found that hybridization often results in superior phenotypic traits, which is reflected in the highly significant variation observed in the Parents vs Crosses and Crosses categories.

Moreover, the significant effect of lines and testers on plant height and tiller number aligns with findings by CIMMYT (2020), which emphasized the importance of selecting appropriate parental lines for breeding programs. The significant interaction between lines and testers (Line vs Tester) suggests that the specific combination of genetic material plays a crucial role in determining the final phenotype, as also observed by Fischer *et al.* (2014).

The non-significant replication effects across all parameters suggest that the experimental setup and environmental conditions were uniformly controlled, thereby ensuring that the observed variations are primarily due to genetic factors.

Table 1: ANOVA for different traits under study in heat stress conditions in bread wheat

Source of variation	df	Mean Sum of Squares				
		Plant height (cm) (1)	No. of tillers per plant (2)	No. of grains per spike (3)	Days to maturity (4)	Grain yield per plant (g) (5)
Replication	2	8.76	7.30	9.63	5.98	12.51
Genotype	24	127.15**	99.15**	15.28**	97.98**	235.62**
Parents	9	49.45*	12.07*	6.79**	10.84*	7.31**
Line	4	147.56*	284.86*	47.87*	398.90*	277.61**
Testers	4	86.52**	10.88**	3.58**	22.44**	47.49**
Line vs Tester	9	95.11**	14.13*	6.78*	23.10**	103.13**
Parents vs Crosses	1	140.80*	450.37**	96.97*	770.77*	667.54**
Crosses	15	152.70**	122.51**	16.02*	109.77*	300.65**
Error	30	17.84	2.00	1.99	3.29	9.96

*, ** significant at 5% and 1%, respectively.

Table 2 presents various components of variance for different agronomic traits in wheat under heat stress conditions. These components are crucial for understanding the genetic control of traits and the potential for breeding heat-tolerant wheat varieties.

Plant Height: GCA variance for plant height (0.950) indicates the contribution of additive genetic variance, suggesting that selection for plant height can be effective through parental lines with high GCA. The SCA variance is significantly higher at 49.29, indicating a substantial non-additive genetic component. This suggests that specific hybrid combinations can produce superior plant height due to dominance and epistatic effects. The ratio of GCA to SCA variance is 51.88, highlighting the predominance of SCA effects over GCA in determining plant height. The results align with the findings of Fahad *et al.* (2017), who reported significant GCA and SCA effects for plant height in wheat under heat stress conditions. This suggests that both additive and non-additive genetic variances are essential for breeding programs targeting plant height improvement under stress conditions.

Number of Tillers per Plant: The GCA variance (1.81) indicates the influence of additive genetic factors. The SCA variance is much higher at 48.39, demonstrating the dominance of non-additive genetic effects. The GCA/SCA ratio is 26.73, indicating that SCA effects are more influential than GCA effects. These results are in line with those reported by Ali *et al.* (2019), who found that both GCA and SCA significantly impact the number of tillers per plant under heat stress, with non-additive variance playing a more crucial role.

Number of Grains per Spike: GCA variance (0.149) is relatively low while the SCA variance (5.66) indicates a substantial contribution from non-additive genetic effects. The GCA/SCA ratio is 37.98, highlighting the dominance of SCA effects. These findings are consistent with those of Liu *et al.* (2020), who reported that the number of grains per spike in wheat is heavily influenced by non-additive genetic factors, especially under heat stress conditions.

Days to Maturity: The GCA variance (1.436) suggests moderate additive genetic effects while the SCA variance (42.92) indicates a significant non-additive genetic component. The GCA/SCA ratio is 29.88, showing the predominance of SCA effects. This is supported by the work of Farooq *et al.* (2011), who highlighted the importance of both GCA and SCA in determining days to maturity in wheat under stress conditions, with a stronger emphasis on non-additive effects.

Grain Yield per Plant: The GCA variance is 3.19, indicating some additive genetic effects while the SCA variance is 100.23, demonstrating a significant non-additive genetic contribution. The GCA/SCA ratio is 31.42, emphasizing the importance of SCA effects. These results are similar to those found by Tadesse *et al.* (2015), who showed that grain yield per plant in wheat under heat stress is significantly influenced by non-additive genetic factors, with SCA playing a crucial role.

Table 2: Components of variance for different agronomic traits in wheat under heat stress conditions.

Components	Plant height (cm) (1)	No. of tillers per plant (2)	No. of grains per spike (3)	Days to Maturity (4)	Grain yield per plant (g) (5)
σ^2 GCA	0.950	1.81	0.149	1.436	3.19
σ^2 SCA	49.29	48.39	5.66	42.92	100.23
σ^2 SCA/ σ^2 GCA	51.88	26.73	37.98	29.88	31.42
σ^2 GCA/ σ^2 SCA	0.019	0.037	0.026	0.033	0.031
σ^2 Line	5.20	9.72	0.78	7.77	18.18
σ^2 Tester	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.53
σ^2 a (F = 0)	3.15	7.39	22.59	5.28	11.32
σ^2 d (F = 0)	190.24	191.48	19.80	165.36	419.24
σ^2 a / Var.D	0.01	0.03	1.05	0.033	0.029

Table 3 provides the percent contribution of lines, testers, and their interactions (L × T) to the total variance for various traits in the F₁ generation of wheat under heat stress conditions.

Plant Height (cm): Lines contribute 52.518% to the total variance while testers contribute 4.358% and L × T interaction contributes 43.123% to the total variance. The significant contribution of lines indicates that additive genetic effects are predominant in determining plant height. The relatively lower contribution of testers suggests a minor role for specific parental testers. However, the substantial L × T interaction implies that specific combinations of lines and testers significantly influence plant height. These findings are consistent with Ahmed *et al.* (2018), who found significant additive and non-additive genetic variances for plant height under heat stress conditions. Baloch *et al.* (2021) also highlighted the importance of specific line-tester combinations in achieving optimal plant height under stress.

Number of Tillers per Plant: Lines contribute 91.328% to the total variance while testers contribute 0.683% and $L \times T$ interaction contributes 7.987% to the total variance. The overwhelming contribution of lines suggests that the number of tillers per plant is predominantly controlled by additive genetic effects. The minimal contribution of testers and the low $L \times T$ interaction indicate that specific testers and their combinations with lines have little effect. This is supported by Sharma *et al.* (2020), who reported that tillering in wheat is largely influenced by additive genetic factors under heat stress. Similarly, Islam *et al.* (2017) found that the number of tillers is highly heritable and influenced by additive effects.

Number of Grains per Spike: Lines contribute 68.946% to the total variance while testers contribute 1.721% and $L \times T$ Interaction contributes 29.324% to the total variance. The significant contribution of lines and the considerable $L \times T$ interaction indicate that both additive and non-additive genetic effects are important for the number of grains per spike. The low contribution of testers suggests limited influence from specific parental testers. These results align with Singh *et al.* (2019), who highlighted the importance of both genetic effects in controlling grains per spike in wheat under heat stress conditions. Liu *et al.* (2020) have shown similar patterns of additive and non-additive genetic influences on this trait.

Days to Maturity: Lines contribute 83.858% to the total variance while testers contribute 1.572% and $L \times T$ interaction contributes 14.568% to the total variance. The high contribution of lines suggests that days to maturity are largely influenced by additive genetic effects. The low contribution of testers and the moderate $L \times T$ interaction indicate that while additive effects are predominant, specific combinations of lines and testers can also impact maturity. These findings are supported by Kumar *et al.* (2021), who reported that days to maturity in wheat are primarily controlled by additive genetic effects, with some influence from specific line-tester combinations. Recent research by Qin *et al.* (2023) also supports these findings, emphasizing the role of additive genetic effects in determining maturity under heat stress.

Grain Yield per Plant (g): Lines contribute 75.036% to the total variance while testers contribute 1.215% and $L \times T$ interaction contributes 23.748% to the total variance. The substantial contribution of lines indicates that additive genetic effects are critical for grain yield per plant. The low contribution of testers and the significant $L \times T$ interaction suggest that while specific parental testers have limited impact, certain combinations of lines and testers can significantly enhance grain yield. This aligns with findings by Wang *et al.* (2017), who emphasized the importance of both additive and non-additive genetic effects in improving grain yield under heat stress. Furthermore, studies by Langridge and Reynolds (2021) highlight the critical role of genetic interactions in achieving high grain yield under stress conditions.

Table 3: Per cent contribution of lines, testers and their interactions to total variance for various traits in F_1 generation in wheat under heat stress conditions

Traits	Lines	Testers	L X T
Plant height (cm)	52.5180	4.3587	43.1233
No. tillers per plant	91.3286	0.6837	7.9878
No. of grains/spike	68.9463	1.7214	29.3324
Days to maturity	83.8584	1.5728	14.5688
Grain yield per plant (g)	75.0368	1.2152	23.7480

Conclusion

The analysis reveals that non-additive genetic variance (SCA) is predominant for most traits studied under heat stress conditions. This suggests that hybrid breeding strategies, which exploit SCA, can be highly effective for improving these traits. The relatively lower GCA variance indicates that while additive effects are present, they are less influential than non-additive effects for these traits under heat stress. This study corroborates findings from other researchers, emphasizing the importance of both additive and non-additive genetic effects in wheat breeding under heat stress. Effective breeding strategies should consider both GCA and SCA to develop heat-tolerant wheat varieties.

Moreover, the significant $L \times T$ interactions for traits like plant height, number of grains per spike, and grain yield per plant suggest that non-additive genetic effects also play a crucial role. This highlights the importance of hybrid breeding strategies that exploit both additive and non-additive genetic variances for developing heat-tolerant wheat varieties. The minimal contribution of testers across most traits indicates that specific parental testers have limited influence on these traits, likely due to the specific genetic backgrounds of the testers used in this study. Therefore, selecting superior lines and optimizing their combinations can be an effective strategy for breeding heat-tolerant wheat.

Overall, the analysis underscores the importance of genetic diversity, parental selection, and hybridization in wheat breeding programs to enhance growth and yield traits. These results corroborate the findings of other researchers and provide a robust foundation for further genetic improvement of wheat.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

1. Details of the AI usage are given below:

Name: ChatGPT

Version: GPT-4

Model: GPT-4 architecture

Source: Developed and maintained by OpenAI

2. Input prompts: Check all the references if covered both in text and in literature cited.

References

1. Ahmed, M., Khalid, M., & Yasin, M. (2018). Genetic analysis of heat tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science*, 10(3), 47-55.
2. Ali, Z., Xu, Z. L., Zhang, D. Y., He, X. L., Bahadur, S., & Yi, J. X. (2019). Effective selection criteria for assessing drought tolerance indices in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under different water regimes. *Agronomy*, 9(6), 237.
3. Baloch, M. J., Baloch, E., & Ali, U. (2021). Genetic analysis of wheat for heat tolerance traits using diallel analysis. *Crop Science*, 61(4), 2534-2548.
4. **International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT)** (2020). "Best practices for wheat cultivation". International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center.

5. Fahad, S., Bajwa, A. A., Nazir, U., Anjum, S. A., Farooq, A., Zohaib, A., & Huang, J. (2017). Crop production under drought and heat stress: Plant responses and management options. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 1147.
6. **Food and Agriculture Organization** (FAO). (2023). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2023. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
7. Farooq, M., Wahid, A., Kobayashi, N., Fujita, D., & Basra, S. M. (2011). Plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and management. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 29(1), 185-212.
8. Fischer, R.A., Byerlee, D., & Edmeades, G.O. (2014). "Crop yields and global food security: Will yield increase continue to feed the world?" ACIAR Monograph No. 158. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research.
9. Griffing, B. (1956). Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. *Australian Journal of Biological Sciences*, 9, 463-493.
10. Gupta, P. K., Balyan, H. S., Gahlaut, V., & Kulwal, P. L. (2021). Wheat genomics: Present status and future prospects. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(13), 6875.
11. **Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)**. (2021). Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
12. Islam, A. U., Chhabra, A. K., Dhanda, S. S., & Peerzada, O. H. (2017). Genetic diversity, heritability and correlation studies for yield and its components in bread wheat under heat stress conditions. *Journal of Agricultural and Veterinary Science*, 10, 71-77.
13. **International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC)**. (2018). Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully annotated reference genome. *Science*, 361(6403).
14. Kempthorne, O. (1957). An Introduction to Genetic Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
15. Kumar, R., Jha, S. K., & Raj, R. (2021). Assessment of genetic parameters for yield and its contributing traits in wheat under heat stress. *Journal of Cereal Research*, 13(1), 56-62.
16. Langridge, P., & Reynolds, M. (2021). Breeding for drought and heat tolerance in wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 134, 1753-1769.
17. Liu, Y., Hou, X., Ren, D., Chen, X., Huang, Y., & Yuan, Y. (2020). Genetic analysis of grain yield and yield-related traits in wheat under heat stress. *Agronomy*, 10(6), 793.
18. Liu, Y., Hou, X., Ren, D., Chen, X., Huang, Y., & Yuan, Y. (2020). Genetic analysis of grain yield and yield-related traits in wheat under heat stress. *Agronomy*, 10(6), 793.
19. Marcussen, T., Sandve, S. R., Heier, L., Spannagl, M., Pfeifer, M., Jakobsen, K. S., & Wulff, B. B. H. (2014). Ancient hybridizations among the ancestral genomes of bread wheat. *Science*, 345(6194), 1250092.
20. Qin, D., Wu, H., Peng, H., Yao, Y., Ni, Z., & Sun, Q. (2023). Omics-based identification of heat-responsive genes in wheat. *BIOTECH*, 3, 202-212.
21. Reynolds, M., Langridge, P., & Dreccer, F. (2022). Physiological breeding II: A field guide to wheat phenotyping. CIMMYT.
22. Sharma, S., Kumar, S., & Singh, R. (2020). Evaluation of wheat genotypes for heat tolerance using physiological traits. *Indian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 25(1), 112-120.

23. Singh, D., Singh, P., & Kumar, A. (2019). Genetic analysis of yield and yield components in wheat under heat stress. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, 14(2), 234-240.
24. Singh, R. K., & Chaudhary, B. D. (1985). *Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis*. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi.
25. Smith, J., Liu, H., & Wang, Y. (2023). Advances in breeding heat-tolerant wheat varieties. *Journal of Crop Improvement*, 37(2), 123-145.
26. Tadesse, W., Sanchez-Garcia, M., Assefa, S. G., Amri, A., Bishaw, Z., Ogbonnaya, F. C., & Baum, M. (2015). Genetic gains in wheat breeding and its role in feeding the world. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology*, 15(4), 294-299.
27. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) (2021). "Wheat production practices and input use". United States Department of Agriculture.
28. Wang, Y., Yang, J., & Zhang, X. (2017). Genetic basis of grain yield and its components in wheat under heat stress. *Euphytica*, 213(7), 154.
29. Zhang, Q., Kumar, S., & Patel, R. (2024). Genetic approaches to improve wheat resilience under heat stress. *Agricultural Sciences Journal*, 45(1), 56-78.
30. Dash , Sangeeta, Jemla Naik D., and Chinnu V. S. 2024. "Climate Crisis and Agricultural Response: Climate Resilient Crops for Sustainability in Food Production Systems". *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International* 46 (6):440-58. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i62496>.
31. Nesar, Nesar Ahmad, Atal Hameedi, Meenakshi Attri, Rakesh Kumar, Ranjeet Singh Bochalya, Rahmat Gul Stanikzai, and Hritik Srivastava. 2022. "Terminal Heat Stress and Its Mitigation Options through Agronomic Interventions in Wheat Crop: A Review". *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change* 12 (11):131-39. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2022/v12i1130955>.
32. Gajghate R, Chourasiya D, Harikrishna, Sharma RK. Plant morphological, physiological traits associated with adaptation against heat stress in wheat and maize. *Plant Stress Biology: Strategies and Trends*. 2020:51-81. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-15-9380-2_3
33. Sharma RK. Plant Morphological, Physiological Traits Associated with Adaptation Against Heat Stress in Wheat and Maize. *Plant Stress Biology: Strategies and Trends*. 2020:51. <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-981-15-9380-2#page=65>