

## Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_120096</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Morphological status of heat stress efficient wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) genotypes under changing environments</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.8

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	<b>Yes</b> The research is relevant as it mentioned the issue facing the global community and the methods used is scientifically inclined	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes</b> But the fourth paragraph in the introduction is best explained under the materials and methods	
<b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	<b>Yes</b> The methods employed are scientific in nature	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient, but all the abbreviated names should be write in full	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	"This study aimed to identify" that appears in the abstract relates more of ongoing work than concluded research. "This study Identified" can be used instead.	

**Review Form 1.8**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ogundahunsi, Isaac Ifedayo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Ilorin, Nigeria</b>