

Effect of integrated nutrient management on nutrient content and their uptake by soybean crop (*Glycine max* L.)

ABSTRACT

Aim: To study the effect of integrated nutrient management practices on nutrient content and uptake by soybean crop (*Glycine max* L.)

Study design: Randomized block design.

Place and duration of study: One-year field experiment at Research Farm, School of Agriculture, Abhilashi University, Chail Chowk, Mandi, (H.P.), during *kharif* of 2023.

Methodology: The experiment was conducted with three replications and nine treatments viz. T₁= Absolute control, T₂= 100% RDF, T₃= 100% RDF + Biofertilizers, T₄= 75% RDF + 25% N by FYM, T₅= 75% RDF + 25% N by Vermicompost, T₆= 50% RDF + 50% N by FYM, T₇= 50% RDF + 50% N by Vermicompost, T₈= 50% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost and T₉= 100% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost.

Results: The study of the data revealed that there is a non-significant effect of integrated nutrient management practices on the content of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in grains and straw of soybean crop, whereas, the application of treatment T₉ noted the highest content of these nutrients in grains and straw of the soybean crop. Treatment T₉ also recorded the significantly maximum uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by grains, straw and total uptake by soybean crop, which was statistically at par with ~~treatment~~ treatments T₃ and T₂. However, the minimum content of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in grains and straw along with their uptake by grains, straw and total uptake by soybean crop were found under the treatment T₁ during the field experiment.

Conclusion: This study showed that the different integrated nutrient management practices do not affect the content of nutrients in soybean crop significantly, however, it has significantly affected the uptake of nutrients by soybean crop.

Keywords: Soybean, integrated nutrient management, biofertilizers, vermicompost, nutrient content and uptake.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soybean is an essential pulse and oil-seed crop of [the](#) Leguminosae family. High-quality protein and necessary amino acids are found in abundance in soybeans. It is also known as the “Golden bean” because of its high dietary fiber content and low saturated fat content. It was introduced in India from China through [the](#) Himalayas as soon as it was domesticated in China **(Tiwari and Karmakar 2000) [1]**. Soybean oil is utilized in both cooking and the production of several industrial goods, including paints, soaps, disinfectants, pesticides and vanaspati ghee etc. Seeds of soybean are used to make soy milk, soy nuts, soy tofu and soy sprouts etc. Soybean oil cake is used to make high-protein cattle feed, biscuits, bread and other confections that are high in protein. It is [the](#) cheapest and main source of dietary protein of majority vegetarian Indians. Soybean ~~seed~~-[seeds](#) consist of 18-25% oil and 30-50% protein **(Vahedi, 2010) [2]**. It is also known as the “Cow of the Orient,” the soybean contains about 40% protein and 20% fatty **(Singh and Chung 2007) [3]**. This composition varies with the location, climate of the planting and variety of soybean.

This legume can obtain some of the nitrogen it needs by nitrogen fixation, however, the seeds must be inoculated with bacterial culture ~~in order to~~ guarantee a supply of nitrogen. In low N-status soils, 20-30 kg of nitrogen ha⁻¹ is thought to be adequate to meet [the](#) initial requirements of the soybean crop. Potassium and phosphorus availability in the soil should also be good. Applying 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 20 kg potassium ha⁻¹ is recommended ~~in order to~~ meet the crop's needs of [the](#) crop for phosphorus.

Brazil ranks first in production (144.000 million metric tons) followed by [the](#) United States of America (119.884 million metric tons), Argentina (52.000 million metric tons), China (14.000 million metric tons) and India (11.200 million metric tons). However, Brazil also ranks first in soybean productivity with 3564 kg ha⁻¹ followed by [the](#) United States of America (3417 kg ha⁻¹), Argentina (3023 kg ha⁻¹), China (1979 kg ha⁻¹), whereas, the productivity of India is 882 kg ha⁻¹ **(Anonymous, 2021-22) [4]**. Among the states, Madhya Pradesh stood first with 5.51 m ha followed by Maharashtra (4.69 m ha), Rajasthan (1.16 m ha), Karnataka (0.37 m ha), Gujarat (0.22 m ha) and Telangana (0.16 m ha) **(Anonymous, 2022) [5]**. As a result, soybean has traditionally been grown on a small scale in Himachal Pradesh.

Integrated ~~nutrients~~-[nutrient](#) management is the unified application of chemical fertilizers along with organic resources materials like organic manures, green manures, bio-fertilizers and other organic decomposable materials for crop production. IPNS (Integrated plant nutrition system) is ecologically, socially and economically viable and environment friendly which can be practiced by farmers to derive higher productivity with simultaneously maintaining soil fertility. Integrated nutrient management encourages the use of ~~on-farm~~-[farm](#) organics, thus it reduces the cost of fertilizers for crop production. It involves [a](#) proper combination of synthetic fertilizers, organic manure, crop residues, N₂-fixing crops like pulses such as rice bean, black gram, other pulses and oil-seeds such as soybean and bio-fertilizers suitable to the system of land use and ecological, social and economic conditions **(Senapati et al. 2023) [6]**. The cropping system rather than an individual crop and farming system

rather than an individual field, ~~is-are~~ the focus of attention in this approach for ~~develop-developing~~ INM practices for various categories. The fundamental idea behind integrated nutrition management (INM) is the prudent and effective use of fertilizers to maintain soil fertility, increase agricultural output over time, and improve profitability. The major components of INM are fertilizers, organic manures, legumes, crop residues and biofertilizers. The application of fertilizer significantly increases the growth parameters and yield of the component crops. Increasing the quantity of NPK fertilizer resulted in significant increases in the yield and growth parameters of soybean. It has been discovered that combining biofertilizers with both organic and inorganic nutrition sources ~~increase-increases~~ soybean productivity and yields financial benefits **(Singh and Rai, 2004 [7]; Bhattacharyya et al., 2008) [8]**. Additionally, organic sources have a significant lasting impact on subsequent harvests, in contrast to inorganic ones. **(Duraismi and Mani, 2001 [9]; Shivkumar and Ahlawat, 2008) [10]. Yumnam et al. (2023) [11]** investigated that the preservation of the physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of soil is greatly aided by INM. It increases stable aggregates, increases porosity, decreases in bulb density and improves soil structure.

Farmyard manure is prepared basically by using cow dung, cow urine, waste straw and other dairy wastes. It is highly useful manure because it is rich in essential plant nutrients. A smaller amount of N is available to the plants immediately and a bigger amount is released when the FYM breaks down. **Jarvan et al. (2017) [12]** said that farm yard manure is one of the more valuable that the application of FYM significantly increased the potassium and magnesium in the soil.

Vermicompost is rich in essential plant nutrients and thus, it is widely used as organic manure in agriculture and nutritifying the crops. **Fudzagbo et al. (2020) [13]** said that vermicomposting is one of the most sustainable methods of handling food waste and are completely environmentally friendly technology that is a viable method of diverting the organic portion of waste streams, avoiding the costs of disposal and converting it to a value-added vermicompost. The vermicompost is not only nutrient-rich but also contains ~~high-quality~~high-quality humus, plant growth hormones, enzymes and substances which ~~are able to can~~ protect plants against pests and diseases.

Biofertilizers are living microbes that enhance plant nutrition either by mobilizing or increasing ~~nutrients-nutrient~~ availability in soils. Since they may effectively colonize the rhizosphere, rhizoplane, or root interior, a variety of microbial organisms, including beneficial bacteria and fungus, are being used as biofertilizers. Microorganisms found in biofertilizers increase the plant's availability of vital nutrients, thereby aiding in the growth of trees and other plants. *R. japonica* biofertilizer is commonly used in soybean crop. According to **Meshram et al. (2020) [14]**, the main component of biofertilizers is helpful microorganisms that have the ability to liberate nutrients from plant wastes and raw materials in the soil and make them commercially available in situations when particular strains of the microorganism are employed as biological fertilizers. Biofertilizers ~~contains-contain~~ microorganisms which promotes the adequate supply of nutrients to the host plants and ensure their proper growth and regulation in their physiology. With the help of biofertilizers, soil fertility can be increased and different resources can be used more effectively, leading to a sustained increase in crop yield.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field experiment was carried out at the Research Farm of [the](#) School of Agriculture, Abhilashi University, Chail Chowk, Mandi (H.P.) during *kharif* of 2023. The experimental farm is situated at 30° 32' N latitude and 74° 53' E longitudes, with an elevation of 1391 m above mean sea level. The pH of the experimental field was slightly acidic in reaction (5.60) with electrical conductivity of 0.008 dS m⁻¹, high in organic carbon (0.80%), low in nitrogen (215.49 kg ha⁻¹), low in phosphorus (8.67 kg ha⁻¹) and medium in potassium (246.03 kg ha⁻¹). The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design (RBD) with nine treatments and three replications. The treatments which were used during experiment are- T₁= Absolute control, T₂= 100% RDF, T₃= 100% RDF + Biofertilizers, T₄=75% RDF + 25% N by FYM, T₅= 75% RDF + 25% N by Vermicompost, T₆= 50% RDF + 50% N by FYM, T₇= 50% RDF + 50% N by Vermicompost, T₈= 50% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25 % N by Vermicompost and T₉= 100% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost. The nutrients were applied according to the various integrated nutrient management treatments. The recommended doses of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium for crop were 20:40:20 which were applied through Urea, DAP and MOP. The seeds were treated with *Rhizobium* before the sowing of the crop. The vermicompost and FYM were applied two weeks prior to [the](#) sowing of the seeds of the soybean crop. After the harvest of the crop, the samples were collected from every net plot and were cleaned and dried under the shade. After the drying of the samples under shade, the samples were oven-dried at 60 ± 2°C for 24 to 48 hours until their weight was constant and the samples were finely powdered with a mixer grinder. After the grinding process, the samples were used for the analysis of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content and their uptake by soybean crop. The Kjeldahl digestion and distillation method was used to determine the nitrogen content described by **(Jackson, 1973) [15]**. The vanadomolybdate phosphoric yellow color method was used for determining the phosphorus content given by **(Jackson, 1973) [15]**. The flame photometer method was used for determining the potassium content given by **(Jackson, 1973) [15]**. The nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (kg ha⁻¹) uptake by grains and straw of soybean crop in each treatment was calculated by multiplying the N, P and K content (%) with yields of grains and straw (q ha⁻¹). The total uptake of different nutrients was calculated after summing their uptake by grains and straw of soybean crop.

3. RESULTS

Nitrogen (N) content (%) and uptake (kg ha⁻¹)

The nitrogen content in grains and straw and uptake by soybean crop are presented in Table- 1 and Fig.- 1. The study of the data showed [a](#) non-significant effect of integrated nutrient management on [the](#) content of nitrogen in the grains and straw of the soybean crop during the experiment. However, treatment T₉ (100% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost) recorded the highest nitrogen content in grains (6.39 %) and straw (2.48%) of soybean crop. While, treatment T₁ (Absolute control) noted the lowest nitrogen content in grains (6.26 %) and straw (2.42 %) of soybean crop during the field experiment.

The study of the data showed a significant effect on the nitrogen uptake by grains, straw as well as total uptake by soybean crop. During the investigation, the application of treatment T₉ (100% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost) recorded maximum nitrogen uptake by grains, straw and total uptake by soybean crop (138.02 kg ha⁻¹, 88.60 kg ha⁻¹ and 226.62 kg ha⁻¹, respectively), which was statistically at par with treatments T₃ (100% RDF + Biofertilizers) (132.22 kg ha⁻¹, 86.03 kg ha⁻¹ and 218.25 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) and T₂ (100% RDF) (127.76 kg ha⁻¹, 84.24 kg ha⁻¹ and 211.67 kg ha⁻¹, respectively). However, under treatment T₁ (Absolute control), the lowest uptake of nitrogen by grains, straw and total uptake by soybean crop was noted (52.02 kg ha⁻¹, 35.32 kg ha⁻¹ and 87.34 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) during the study.

Table 1. Effect of integrated nutrient management on nitrogen content (%) and their uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by soybean crop

| S.N. | Treatments | Nitrogen content (%) | | Nitrogen uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | |
|----------------|--|----------------------|-------|--|-------|--------|
| | | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw | Total |
| T ₁ | Absolute control | 6.26 | 2.42 | 52.02 | 35.32 | 87.34 |
| T ₂ | 100% RDF | 6.35 | 2.47 | 127.76 | 84.24 | 211.67 |
| T ₃ | 100% RDF + Biofertilizers | 6.36 | 2.47 | 132.22 | 86.03 | 218.25 |
| T ₄ | 75% RDF + 25% N by FYM | 6.31 | 2.46 | 118.82 | 76.28 | 195.10 |
| T ₅ | 75% RDF + 25% N by Vermicompost | 6.33 | 2.46 | 122.61 | 78.77 | 201.38 |
| T ₆ | 50% RDF + 50% N by FYM | 6.27 | 2.43 | 91.67 | 60.09 | 151.76 |
| T ₇ | 50% RDF + 50% N by Vermicompost | 6.28 | 2.44 | 97.15 | 62.87 | 160.02 |
| T ₈ | 50% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost | 6.29 | 2.45 | 105.73 | 68.49 | 174.22 |
| T ₉ | 100% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost | 6.39 | 2.48 | 138.02 | 88.60 | 226.62 |
| | SEm± | 0.18 | 0.08 | 3.59 | 2.33 | 5.68 |
| | CD (P = .05) | NS | NS | 10.54 | 7.05 | 17.20 |




Fig. 1. Effect of integrated nutrient management on nitrogen content (%) and their uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by soybean crop

Phosphorus (P) content (%) and uptake (kg ha⁻¹)

The content of phosphorus in grains and straw of soybean crop and phosphorus uptake by soybean crop are presented in Table- 2 and depicted in Fig.- 2. The study of data of results revealed that the concentrations of phosphorus in the grains and straw of the soybean crop did not significantly change due to [the](#) application of the different integrated nutrient management treatments. However, treatment T₉ (100% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost) noted the highest phosphorus content in grains (0.28 %) and straw (0.16 %) of [the](#) soybean crop, while treatment T₁ (Absolute control) recorded the minimum content of phosphorus in grains (0.24 %) and straw (0.10 %) of soybean crop.

Table 2. Effect of integrated nutrient management on phosphorus content (%) and their uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by soybean crop

| S.N. | Treatments | Phosphorous content (%) | | Phosphorous uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------|---|-------|-------|
| | | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw | Total |
| T ₁ | Absolute control | 0.24 | 0.10 | 1.99 | 1.46 | 3.45 |
| T ₂ | 100% RDF | 0.27 | 0.14 | 5.43 | 4.77 | 10.53 |
| T ₃ | 100% RDF + Biofertilizers | 0.28 | 0.15 | 5.82 | 5.22 | 11.04 |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|------|------|------|------|-------|
| T ₄ | 75% RDF + 25% N by FYM | 0.26 | 0.13 | 4.90 | 4.03 | 8.93 |
| T ₅ | 75% RDF + 25% N by Vermicompost | 0.27 | 0.14 | 5.23 | 4.48 | 9.71 |
| T ₆ | 50% RDF + 50% N by FYM | 0.25 | 0.11 | 3.66 | 2.72 | 6.38 |
| T ₇ | 50% RDF + 50% N by Vermicompost | 0.25 | 0.11 | 3.87 | 2.83 | 6.70 |
| T ₈ | 50% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost | 0.26 | 0.12 | 4.37 | 3.35 | 7.72 |
| T ₉ | 100% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost | 0.28 | 0.16 | 6.05 | 5.72 | 11.77 |
| | SEm± | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.60 |
| | CD (P = .05) | NS | NS | 0.69 | 0.99 | 1.83 |

The further analysis of the data showed that there is significant effect on the phosphorus uptake by grains, straw as well as total uptake by soybean crop. Application of treatment T₉ (100% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost) recorded the highest uptake of phosphorus by grains, straw and total uptake (6.65 kg ha⁻¹, 5.72 kg ha⁻¹ and 11.77 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) by soybean crop, which was statistically on par with treatment T₃ (100% RDF + biofertilizers) (5.82 kg ha⁻¹, 5.22 kg ha⁻¹ and 11.04 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) and T₂ (100% RDF) (5.43 kg ha⁻¹, 4.77 kg ha⁻¹ and 10.53 kg ha⁻¹, respectively). Whereas, the minimum uptake of phosphorus uptake by grains, straw as well as total uptake by soybean crop was found under treatment T₁ (Absolute control), (1.99 kg ha⁻¹, 1.46 kg ha⁻¹ and 3.45 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) during the field experiment.




Fig. 2. Effect of integrated nutrient management on phosphorus content (%) and their uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by soybean crop

Potassium (K) content (%) and uptake (kg ha⁻¹)

The potassium content in grains and straw of soybean crop and uptake of potassium by soybean crop are presented in Table- 3 and Fig.- 3. The potassium content in grains and straw of soybean crop was found non-significant due to application of different integrated nutrient management practices. While, the application of treatment T₉ (100% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost) observed the highest potassium content in grains (1.32 %) and straw (2.44 %) of the soybean crop. Whereas, treatment T₁ (Absolute control) noted the lowest potassium content in grains (1.07 %) and straw (2.11 %) of soybean crop during the field study.

The analysis of the data revealed that the uptake of the potassium by grains, straw as well as their total uptake by soybean crop were significantly affected by the application of the various integrated nutrient management treatments during the field experiment. Further analysis of data showed that the treatment T₉ (100% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost) recorded the maximum potassium uptake by grains, straw and total uptake (28.51 kg ha⁻¹, 87.17 kg ha⁻¹ and 115.68 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) by soybean crop, which was statistically at par with treatment T₃ (100% RDF + biofertilizers) (27.03 kg ha⁻¹, 83.94 kg ha⁻¹ and 110.97 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) and T₂ (100% RDF) (25.95 kg ha⁻¹, 80.49 kg ha⁻¹ and 106.43 kg ha⁻¹, respectively). However, treatment T₁ (Absolute control) noted the lowest potassium uptake by grains, straw as well as total uptake by soybean crop (8.89 kg ha⁻¹, 30.80 kg ha⁻¹, 39.69 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) during the field experiment.

Table 3. Effect of integrated nutrient management on potassium content (%) and their uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by soybean crop

| S.N. | Treatments | Potassium content (%) | | Potassium uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | |
|----------------|---|-----------------------|-------|---|-------|--------|
| | | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw | Total |
| T ₁ | Absolute control | 1.07 | 2.11 | 8.89 | 30.80 | 39.69 |
| T ₂ | 100% RDF | 1.29 | 2.36 | 25.95 | 80.49 | 106.43 |
| T ₃ | 100% RDF + Biofertilizers | 1.30 | 2.41 | 27.03 | 83.94 | 110.97 |
| T ₄ | 75% RDF + 25% N by FYM | 1.26 | 2.28 | 23.73 | 70.70 | 94.43 |
| T ₅ | 75% RDF + 25% N by Vermicompost | 1.27 | 2.32 | 24.60 | 74.29 | 98.89 |
| T ₆ | 50% RDF + 50% N by FYM | 1.18 | 2.18 | 17.25 | 53.91 | 71.16 |
| T ₇ | 50% RDF + 50% N by Vermicompost | 1.20 | 2.21 | 18.56 | 56.94 | 75.50 |
| T ₈ | 50% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost | 1.22 | 2.24 | 20.51 | 62.62 | 83.13 |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|------|------|-------|-------|--------|
| T₉ | 100% RDF + Biofertilizers + 25% N by FYM + 25% N by Vermicompost | 1.32 | 2.44 | 28.51 | 87.17 | 115.68 |
| | SEM± | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.91 | 2.30 | 3.36 |
| | CD (<i>P</i> = .05) | NS | NS | 2.79 | 6.96 | 10.17 |




Fig.- 3 Effect of integrated nutrient management on potassium content (%) and their uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by soybean crop

4. DISCUSSION

The nutrient content and uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium by the grains and straw of the soybean crop ~~was~~ were improved during the field experiment by applying different integrated nutrition management treatments at varying doses. Organic manures caused a slow and steady release of nutrients into the soil, which helped the crop growth continuously throughout the experiment. This could be the reason for the increase in ~~nutrients~~ nutrient content and uptake by the soybean crop as compared to the control treatment. Integrated nutrient management practices also enhanced nutrient release and promoted growth and yield. This might ~~be~~ be because nitrogen is also a component of chlorophyll, which allows the plant to absorb sunlight energy through photosynthesis, driving ~~the~~ the vegetative growth and higher yield and ultimately higher nitrogen uptake. These factors may contribute to an increase in the nitrogen content and uptake in crop plants. Stable nitrogen availability at earlier needed stages is provided by inorganic stages, and slower availability through organic manure. This result is closely related with the findings of the results of the field studies of ~~the~~ Singh et al., 2016 [16], Vibieli Mere (2012) [17], Meshram (2013) [18], Singh et al. (2007) [3] and Akbari et al. (2001) [19]. The implementation of integrated nutrient management treatments increased the content and uptake of phosphorus which might be due to ~~that~~ the integration of the organic manures and inorganic fertilizers improved the efficiency of phosphorus absorbing

mechanisms and stimulating root growth, both of which increased phosphorus uptake. Additionally, the addition of organic manures may have contributed to higher soil microbial activity, which in turn increased phosphorus uptake. The application of phosphorus by inorganic and organic sources aids in the synthesis of DNA and RNA, the genetic building blocks, as well as the development, maintenance and repair of all tissues and cells of the crop plants, which enhances the absorption and uptake of phosphorus by crop plants. This might account for the increase in phosphorus content and uptake. **Morshed et al. (2008) [20]**, **Ved Prakash et al. (2002) [21]** and **Singh et al (2007) [3]** also found ~~the~~ similar findings of phosphorus uptake ~~with-in~~ their separate experiments. The potassium content and uptake were also increased with the application of various integrated nutrient management treatments. This might be caused by improvements in photosynthesis, energy transfer, and nutrient movement within the plants as a result of appropriate fertilization with both organic and inorganic sources of nutrients. Both organic and inorganic sources are used to apply ~~the~~ nutrients like- nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and other micronutrients. This might improve the absorption of the various minerals and increased crop plant mineralization of carbohydrates, which is connected with potassium levels in plants. Similar results were also observed by **Arbad et al. (2014) [22]** **Ved Prakash et al. (2002) [21]** and **Singh et al (2007) [3]** from their experiments. The application of organic sources of nutrients, such as organic manures, may accelerate the mineralization process in soils, which makes various nutrients available to crop plants in absorbable forms and aids in the higher uptake of nutrients by crop plants from the soils. This improves the growth and structure of roots as well as the population and activities of microorganisms in the soil. **Jawhara and Owied, 2016 [23]** also found the close results from their study as to this finding.

5. CONCLUSION

The application of different integrated nutrient management treatment failed to show the significant effects on the content of nutrients *i.e.* nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in grains and straw of soybean crop. However, the maximum nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in grains and straw of soybean crop were recorded with the application of recommended dose of nutrients through 100% RDF + Biofertilizers + FYM + Vermicompost (treatment T₉), however, minimum content of these nutrients in grains and straw of soybean crop was noted under treatment T₁ (Absolute control) during the field experiment. Whereas, the various integrated nutrient management practices significantly affected the uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by grains, straw as well as total uptake by soybean crop. The highest uptake of these nutrients by grains, straw and total uptake were recorded under treatment T₉ (100% RDF + Biofertilizers + FYM + Vermicompost), which was statistically at par with treatment T₃ (100% RDF + Biofertilizers) and T₂ (100% RDF). The minimum uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by grains, straw as well as total uptake was found under treatment T₁ (Absolute control) during the field experiment. In conclusion, this field study shows that the alteration of the nutrient application to the soybean crop through the inorganic fertilizers and organic manures are significant to gain the higher nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in grains and straw as well as their uptake by the grains, straw and total uptake by soybean crop.

REFERENCES

1. Tiwari SP and Karmakar PG. Soybean in the ensuing millennium in souvenir, National seminar on oils-Research and development needs in the millennium, February 2-4-2000. Indian society of oilseed research DOR, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh 2000.
2. Vahedi A. The effect of micronutrient application on soybean seed yield and on seed oil and protein content. J. American Sci. 2010;44-49
3. Singh RJ and Chung GH. Cytogenetics of soybean: progress and perspectives. The Nucleus. 2007;50: 403-425
4. Anonymous, Oilseeds - World Markets and Trade, a USDA Publication.2022.
5. Anonymous, Agriculture at a glance. Government of India. 2022.
6. Senapati T, Deshmukh MR, Tripathy B, Rout S. Growth and Yield Performances of Soybean Plants under Integrated Nutrient Management. Indian Journal of Natural Sciences. 2023; Vol.14 / Issue 80:976-97.
7. Singh R and Rai RK. Yield attributes yield and quality of soybean (*Glycine max*) as influenced by integrated nutrient management. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2004;49(4): 271-274
8. Bhattacharyya R, Kundu S, Prakash R and Gupta HS. Sustainability under combined application of mineral and organic fertilizers in a rainfed soybean-wheat system of the Indian Himalayas. European Journal of Agronomy. 2008;28(1): 33-46
9. Duraisami VP and Mani AK. Residual effect of inorganic nitrogen, composted coir pith and biofertilizer on yield and uptake of soybean in on inceptisol. Madras Agricultural Journal 2001;88(4/6): 277-280
10. Shivkumar BG and Ahlawat I.P.S. Integrated nutrient management in soybean (*Glycine max*) – wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2008;53(4): 273-278
11. Yumnam V, Borah ID, Singh S, Deka T. Soil properties as influenced by integrated nutrient management. Advancing Innovations in Sustainable Agriculture. 2023;246-278
12. Jarvan M, Vettik R, Adamson A. Assessment of 12 plant nutrients dynamics in organically and conventionally managed soils by means of different extraction methods. Acta Agriculture Scandinavica, Section B: Soil and Plant Science. 2017;67(3): 191-201
13. Fudzagbo J. and Iderawumi AM. Vermicompost Technology: impact on the environment and food security. Agriculture and Environment. 2020;1(1):87
14. Meshram Nisha. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) M.Sc. (Agriculture) Thesis. Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur 2013.
15. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis, prentice Hall of Indian pvt. Ltd., 1973; New Delhi PP 128,152 and 283
16. Singh G, Choudhary P, Meena BL, Rawat RS and Jat BL. Integrated nutrient management in black gram under rainfed condition. International Journal of Recent Scientific Research 2016;7(10):13875-13894

17. Vibieliere Mere. Effect of organic, inorganic fertilizers on yield of soybean. Ph.D. thesis submitted to Nagaland University, Medziphema 2012.
18. Meshram Nisha. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) M.Sc. (Agriculture) Thesis. Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur 2013.
19. Akbari KN, Sutaria GS, Hirpara DS and Yusufzai AS. Response of soybean to N and P fertilization on medium black soil under rainfed condition of Saurashtra. Legume Res., 2001;24(1):1-5.
20. Morshed RM, Rahman MM and Rahman MA. Effect of nitrogen on seed yield, protein content and nutrient uptake of soybean (*Glycine max*. (L.) Merrill) Journal of Agriculture and Rural development. 2008;6((1): 13-17
21. Ved Prakash, Kundu S., Ghosh BN, Singh RD and Gupta HS. Yield response of soybean (*Glycine max*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) to potassium and changes of potassium in soil after long term sequential cropping. Indian J. Agril. Res. 2002;72(9):514-518
22. Arbad BK, Syed Ismail and Zade KK. Effect of farmyard manure and inorganic fertilizers on yield, nutrient concentration, uptake and soil fertility status in safflower on Typic Hplustert. J. Oilseed Res. 2014;(29):222-224.
23. Jawhara Aal, Owied Al. Comparative study of synthetic fertilizers and organic manures on some mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] genotypes 1-Effect on growth parameters and photosynthesis Productivity. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci. 2016;16(4):666-76.

UNDER PEER