

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119779
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of integrated nutrient management on nutrient content and their uptake by soybean crop (Glycine max L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The article is an original research work on <i>Effect of integrated nutrient management on nutrient content and their uptake by soybean crop (Glycine max L.)</i>. It contributes to important aspects of soil and agricultural sciences. Overall, it is a promising article and may be accepted after the suggested changes have been implemented.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Additional subsections titled Recommendations and Future Scope of Study may be added in the manuscript after Conclusions section.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. References are recent and sufficient.</p> <p><u>Additional comments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The keywords are generally written in alphabetical order. The author(s) may take note of it. The author(s) should include some original photographs of the site, experimental plants and soil for better understanding by the readers. The author(s) should include some data on the prevailing weather conditions like temperature, precipitation, humidity, etc. for better gauging of the research. The author(s) should add sections pertaining to recommendations and future scope of the study after the conclusions section, describing in brief how this current research can help in future agricultural research and related policies. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avdesh Bhardawaj
Department, University & Country	India