

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119372
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Optimization of Copper Adsorption with Modified Rice Straw Using Response Surface Methodology
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes (The research offers important information for both the government and farmers on utilizing this affordable and environmentally friendly absorbent to manage and reduce the threat of heavy metal pollution in water sources).</p> <p>It is better with the correction that I indicated.</p> <p>Comprehensive including my observation.</p> <p>Appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, it is Validated</p> <p>The work could benefit from just a slight improvement to enhance its scientific rigor.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Explain why water, as a key factor, is not discussed in the work.</p> <p>The rate constants of both the selected model and isotherm must be explained as they are included in the tables.</p> <p>Why the properties of prepared rice straw are not analyzed in the study, which should be considered an important parameter for the research?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Murabbi Aliyu
Department, University & Country	Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University Bauchi, Nigeria