

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_119327</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PREVALENCE STUDIES OF CANINE ENDOCARDITIS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>SHORT COMMUNICATION</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>YES</b> Authors of the present manuscript “ prevalence study on canine endocarditis “ had been made a very good job because diagnosis of cardiac affections like endocarditis is difficult due to its complex nature but they have done it by proper examination of Clinical Signs, Auscultation , Hematology and biochemical profile of blood, and by ECG. All plays a key role in diagnosing heart disease. The obtained data will be helpful for clinicians.</p> <p><b>Alternate titles has been mentioned in manuscript</b></p> <p><b>Yes, abstract section is lengthy so cut short it only includes summary of the manuscript.</b> Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Authers have repeatedly described about the experimental places in every section of the manuscript. It should be described only in materials and methods section.</p> <p>2. Strictly follow the guidelines of the journal.</p> <p>3. Last 15 years references may be included only</p> <p>4. Please mention retrospective study period also which was done at other places excluding pantrnagar.</p> <p>5. Minor typological and grammatical mistakes present in manuscript.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Neeraj Arora</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, India</b>