

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119327
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE STUDIES OF CANINE ENDOCARDITIS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Canine endocarditis, an infection of the inner lining of the heart chambers and valves, poses significant health risks to dogs and requires urgent attention from veterinary practitioners. This study aims to investigate the prevalence of canine endocarditis in different regions of India, providing a comprehensive analysis of its geographical distribution, potential risk factors, and implications for veterinary health practices. This study highlights the importance of understanding the geographical distribution and risk factors of canine endocarditis in India. By identifying high-risk regions and breeds, veterinarians can better target preventive measures and improve clinical outcomes for affected dogs. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to monitor trends and the effectiveness of intervention strategies.</p> <p>The publication contains interesting and relevant data and can be recommended to the scientific community.</p> <p>We recommend changing the name A STUDY ON THE PREVALENCE OF CANINE ENDOCARDITIS ACROSS VARIOUS REGIONS OF INDIA</p> <p>+/- Please correct the text</p> <p>+/-</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Please supplement the list with new sources for the last 3-5 years Please add DOI</p> <p>INTRODUCTION Please check the links and grammar We recommend putting two characters after the period</p> <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS This section needs detailed additions and serious revisions Please indicate the breeds of animals, their number, age groups, whether special diagnostic tools (ECG, echocardiography, X-ray), laboratory diagnostic methods were used. Specify the device name, model, country of manufacture. Specify the ethical principles of conducting research. Official permits for conducting experiments. Indicate the permission of the owners to conduct experiments</p> <p>Table No. 1. Area wise Prevalence (N=51655) We recommend putting two characters after the period</p> <p>Figure No. 1. Sex wise Prevalence of endocarditis We recommend putting two characters after the period</p> <p>CONCLUSION Please make clear and concise conclusions. Emphasize the relevance and prospects of further research. It is not necessary to show statistical data in the conclusions. It is better to focus attention on the seriousness of the problem and the result of research</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	To remove references. To add information: CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL FUNDING COMPETING INTERESTS	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article must be revised by a native language speaker.	
Optional/General comments	Grammar!! Give answers to questions Major revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mykola Zhelavskyi
Department, University & Country	Vinnitsia National Agrarian University, Ukraine