

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_119638</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Eco- friendly management of root rot disease in Cowpea</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Title: Can be modified as “ Ecofriendly management of root rot diseases of cowpea caused by_____ _____</p> <p>Abstract: Few data can be provided</p> <p>Introduction: Well written. Some data on yield loss can be included</p> <p>Materials and methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Isolation, identification and purification: Cultural and morphological identification were done. If it is confirmed by molecular level, it is well and good.</li> <li>Efficacy of different bio-agents against pathogen <i>in vitro</i>: Procedure was well explained. But the author for Dual culture technique is not indicated.</li> <li>Efficacy of different organic amendments against pathogen <i>in vitro</i>: In which method the experiment was conducted is not given. Author of methodology also not indicated.</li> </ol> <p>Results and discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Latest references may be included</li> <li>What is the reason for testing only one bacterium (<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>) along with fungal biocontrol agents?</li> <li>Discussion part should be improved both for biocontrol agents and organic amendments</li> <li>Data is missing in the discussion part.</li> </ol> <p><b>General Comments:</b> Revision of article is suggested</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>J. Sheela</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</b>