

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_109854</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Characterization of arecanut (Areca catechu L.) growing soils of Chitradurga taluk, Karnataka</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is better to assess the soil nutrition time to time for better fertilizer management policies.</p> <p>No, the suitable title should be "Assessment of Soil Nutrient Status for Arecanut Cultivation in Karnataka, India"</p> <p>Give a short touch to the Arecanut in abstract followed by the scope of the study. Furthermore, there are some spelling mistakes like Agricultural Chemistry is not written proper. The author is requested to go through the paper thoroughly.</p> <p>Yes, but subheadings like soil reaction should be rephrased to soil physico-chemical characteristics or any suitable word.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, references in the discussion is very low. The findings should be compared with multiple similar research, if not then the it should be aligned with the plants of the same family.</p> <p>Keywords are not appropriate. Minimum keywords should be 5. The alphabet A in "Arecanut" should be capitalized at certain points. In the introduction section, author has written very less regarding soil nutrition w.r.t Arecanut. A couple of recommendation should be added in the end of the article and which should be depicted in abstract as well.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Baseer Us Salam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan</b>