

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_119583
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Biochar Application on Biochemical and Physiological Processes in Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) Under Water Stress Condition
Type of the Article	Manuscript

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1 Yes, the manuscript is important to the scientific community because it deals with the study of an important element for plant life, which is water, the effect of water stress, and the application of biochar on biochemical and physiological processes in wheat.</p> <p>2 Yes, the title of the article is appropriate. There is no alternative address.</p> <p>3 Yes, the article abstract is clear and comprehensive.</p> <p>4 Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5 Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6 Yes, the references sufficient and recent. There is not provide additional suggestions or comments.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the quality of English is suitable for scientific communication. The language is sound and the writing style is good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research topic is important and modern. It deals with the study of water stress, an important factor in plant life, especially in light of climate change and the expansion of areas.</p> <p>Drought is characterized by clarity of objectives, good statistical study and treatment of results, accuracy of discussion, clarity of interpretation, and important conclusions.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmad Elali Elkhalf
Department, University & Country	Syria