

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCOS_119974</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Impact of Technology Use on Young People</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The results of the study if conducted well from the respondents would be very helpful to the community, as long as the stratified random sampling used is scientifically conducted to represent the whole community.</p> <p>The title of the paper "The Impact of Technology Use on Young People" implies many important considerations which are not clearly defined in the paper. Examples are : Technology is a very broad term, it should be clearly classified as to what should be studied in this paper. Is technology concerned only with electronic devices and the internet? Kindly clarify these in the introduction and Literature Review.</p> <p>The abstract should be short and concise. Mention what is the study all about, what are the objectives of the study, what are the specific methodologies to use, what are the results of the study conducted specifically data from the 500 respondents and that the recommendations will be the offshoot of the conclusions which are supposed to be the justification how the objectives are achieved. On keywords: all the 4 keywords indicated are too general, they cannot be used as keyboards but rather be specific with the keywords which can be considered unique for the study.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Methodology should clearly define how the 500 respondents will be obtained by stratified sampling. That is, mention how stratified sampling will be done, what are the parts of the community to consider and how many for each part will be included. Also, there are no statistical test mentioned that will be used to interpret the results of the data collected. The respondents of the study should be clearly defined as to what age are considered young. Are the participants school children? Adolescents? High school students?</li> <li>All discussions in the paper are future tenses. It should be past considering that the study should have been conducted.</li> <li>The discussions after the methodology became discussions which should be in the Literature Review part.</li> <li>The discussion in the results as presented is also part of the Literature review. There is no discussion that presented the collected data and results from the 500 respondents. Where are the tables that present the data as well as the results of the statistical test used.</li> <li>What are the findings of the study which should be summarized in the conclusion?</li> <li>There are relevant references listed but the extensive use of these references as citations was not properly conducted.</li> <li>It seems that the study is just an on-going one, or not yet completely conducted or finished. It is considered a study on progress only.</li> <li>Can be considered for acceptance only if the study was conducted and completely finished.</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The revisions to be done should be a major one. The language is suitable but need a deeper way of presenting facts and data from actual conduct of the study.</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>Can be considered for acceptance only if the study was conducted and completely finished.</b></li></ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Roselie B. Alday</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lyceum of the Philippines University, Philippines</b>