

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_119374
Title of the Manuscript:	Low-Grade Gliomas in Children
Type of the Article	Letter to the editor

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript on low-grade gliomas (LGGs) is important for the scientific community for several reasons like understanding disease, information about epidemiological data, get insight about role of genetics in cancer etc. 2. As the title have clarity and relevance, so I think title of manuscript is suitable. 3. No 4. No 5. I think some improvement is needed in this direction. 6. Yes the reference cited in this manuscript are recent and number of references are also sufficient. 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Conduct a thorough review to correct minor grammatical and syntactical errors. For instance, ensure proper use of commas, and check for sentence fragments and run-on sentences. 	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure consistent presentation of statistics. For example, when referring to the incidence rates and survival rates, consistently use the same format (e.g., "per 100,000 inhabitants" vs. "per 100,000 children"). 2. Define technical terms and acronyms the first time they are used (e.g., LGG, CNS, WHO). 3. The section on genetic changes (e.g., chromosome 7, BRAF-1 expression, TP-53 mutations) is highly technical. Provide additional context or explanations to help readers understand the significance of these genetic changes in LGG pathogenesis. 4. The addition of diagrams, charts, or tables could enhance understanding, especially for the distribution of tumor locations and associated symptoms. <p>These revisions will help in enhancing the overall quality, readability, and impact of the article on low-grade gliomas.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashwani Kumar
Department, University & Country	Chandigarh University, India