

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_119565
Title of the Manuscript:	Signaling Theory in Action: How Dividends Affect Shareholder Investment Decisions in Nigerian Deposit Money Banks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebe.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The topic is relevant and interesting. However, the researcher should specify the real research gap.</p> <p>2. Title is suitable for the study.</p> <p>3. The researcher should re-arrange the abstract. The researcher introduce the topic first and include the main objective and main findings and suggestions to abstract.</p> <p>4. Its ok.</p> <p>5. Yes. The researcher used relevant tools for analysing the data.</p> <p>6. The researcher should follow APA reference style. APA reference is missing in the article.</p> <p>The researcher carried a commendable work with suitable objectives with relevant tools. The researcher should re-arrange abstract.</p> <p>The researcher should answer the following questions in your research paper.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Should focus the recent review of literature - Statement of the problem is missing. Add clear statement of the problem in the last part of introduction. - There are some other variables (control variables) also influence the investment decision of the individual. - State why you use purposive sampling technique. How you measure the variable INVESTMENT DECISION? – What are the variables used for measuring the investment decision. - On what basis you select the investors. - Why you use Pooled least square regression technique. <p>Researcher should Add limitations and scope for further research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English quality of the article is suitable.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sujith.T.S
Department, University & Country	Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Kochi Campus, India