

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_119624
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Awareness of Rythu Bharosa Kendra's (RBK's) Programmes in Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. Yes, it is important. Farmers' awareness about agricultural extension and affecting factors are revealed. 2. Partly. Country name and farmer statement must be added. 3. No. Methodology should be stated more clearly. 4. It is appropriate. Discussion section should be enriched. 5. Yes, it is. 6. References are up to date and it would be appropriate to add references for the discussion section. - In the 3.1 Socio-Economic Profile of Sample Respondents section, the paragraphs contain the information in the table and the relationships between the variables can be given.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable.	
Optional/General comments	The areas that need to be corrected are stated in detail in the article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sinan Duru
Department, University & Country	Türkiye