

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_JERR_117851</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AI for Automated Regulatory Compliance Tracking</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The article presents the application of an emergent technology, AI, to handle an important issue, regulatory compliance tracking. The author started by explaining the regulatory compliance and its importance in various industries. Then they describe the challenges of manual compliance tracking to introduce after that AI in automated regulatory tracking. The application of AI in automated regulatory compliance tracking will have an important impact on industries operations as well as regulatory corporations.</p> <p>2. No. Suggested title: Survey on AI for Automated Regulatory Compliance Tracking</p> <p>3. No. The abstract should provide the purpose of the manuscript and a preview of the rest of the article. In this case, the abstract is introducing AI and regulatory compliance tracking in general. I suggest reviewing the abstract and include a description on each section.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English quality of the article is good. The language is clear, comprehensive. I invite the author to review the article for some grammar mistakes.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The concept of the manuscript is great. The author did put a lot of effort explaining the regulatory compliance, its tracking and the importance of automation in the process then the role of AI. I suggest a number of revisions to highlight the value of the work:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There are redundant ideas along the article: focus on one topic for each section.</li> <li>2. Comparative table for work already conducted in AI for automated regulatory compliance tracking</li> <li>3. Add reference to the figures in the respective sections.</li> <li>4. When applicable, add subsections to sections to have more focused paragraphs.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Asma Messaoudi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Carthage, Tunisia</b>