

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_119392
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Non-Surgical Treatments for Chronic Back Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Yes, Chronic back pain is a prevalent issue that affects a significant portion of the global population. By systematically reviewing and analyzing the effectiveness of non-surgical treatments such as acupuncture, physiotherapy, and medication, the manuscript provides valuable insights that can guide clinicians, researchers, and policymakers in optimizing treatment strategies for chronic back pain. The comprehensive evaluation of these non-surgical interventions can help improve patient outcomes and quality of life.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? The title is mostly suitable, but it could be more specific. An alternative title could be: "Effectiveness of Acupuncture, Physiotherapy, Chiropractic, and Medication in Chronic Back Pain Management: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis"</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Please also include other alternative non-surgical therapy, such as chiropractic, mental therapy as recommended by WHO Guideline in the review</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Please also include other alternative non-surgical therapy, such as chiropractic, mental therapy as recommended by WHO Guideline in the review</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Based on the provided content, the manuscript appears scientifically correct. It follows standard methodological guidelines (PRISMA) for conducting systematic reviews and meta-analyses, includes a clear selection criteria, and employs appropriate statistical analyses. However, a thorough peer review is necessary to confirm the accuracy and validity of the findings.</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form. The references included seem not sufficient, WHO guideline recommendations are not included</p> <p>Please use WHO Guideline (attached) as a base to revise</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eric Chu
Department, University & Country	China