

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_119113
Title of the Manuscript:	RESISTANT STARCH: WAY TO A HEALTHY LIFE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript holds significant value for the scientific community, providing valuable information and knowledge about resistant starch. This knowledge can be utilized for research and development in the field of digestive systems and other diseases, paving the way for potential preventive and treatment measures for various ailments</p> <p>Overall, the title "RESISTANT STARCH: WAY TO A HEALTHY LIFE" accurately represents the article's content and effectively engages the target audience. It serves as a concise and informative summary of the article's key message.</p> <p>The abstract of this article is generally appropriate, only a discussion of the results needs to be added</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are in accordance with the title of the manuscript</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct and can be developed further.</p> <p>Yes, this article includes up-to-date references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article needs improvement in terms of spelling, Additional writing issues, punctuation</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vivi Shofia
Department, University & Country	Hafshawaty Zainul Hasan University, Indonesia