

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_119113
Title of the Manuscript:	RESISTANT STARCH: WAY TO A HEALTHY LIFE
Type of the Article	Mini- Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Manuscript is bascially related to resistant starch. Author has extensively written and discussed RS metabolism, its types and ways to increase its content in food.</p> <p>A comprehensive review on resistant starch</p> <p>Yes it is comprehensive but needs to rewrite and delete last two lines. There shouldn't be any paragraphs in abstract There are no indexing in overall review. Indexing should be done</p> <p>Author needs to provide more in depth studies that is lacking. Additional there is no correlation between figures and discussion Yes references are sufficient but needs to be updated with last 3 years references also</p> <p>Figures should be provided as additional files. It should not be embedded in text. Captions of all figures must be written short Plz rewrite conclusion section</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>It needs to be improved overall</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Natasha Abbas Butt
Department, University & Country	Ziauddin University, Pakistan