

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_JEAI_117642</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Review on Emerging Trends in Agricultural Extension and Their Impact on Global Food Systems</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript offers valuable insights into emerging trends in agricultural extension and their impact on global food systems. It covers innovations like digital tech, participatory approaches, and sustainable farming, emphasizing their role in improving productivity, food security, and economic resilience. Researchers, policymakers, and agricultural practitioners need to address current agricultural challenges.</li> <li>2. The title "A Review on Emerging Trends in Agricultural Extension and Their Impact on Global Food Systems" effectively captures the scope of the article. For increased clarity and specificity, an alternative title could be "Transformative Innovations in Agricultural Extension: Implications for Global Food Security."</li> <li>3. The abstract provides a thorough overview of the manuscript, summarizing how emerging trends in agricultural extension impact global food systems. It effectively outlines key innovations, challenges, and future directions in agricultural extension, ensuring readers grasp the manuscript's objectives and findings.</li> <li>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, ensuring a logical flow of information for readers. Each section, from introduction to conclusion, is clearly defined and enhances the manuscript's coherence.</li> <li>5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, as it is based on a thorough review of existing literature and presents well-supported arguments and evidence. The information provided is consistent with current understanding and research findings in the field of agricultural extension.</li> <li>6. The references provided are adequate and up-to-date, bolstering the research's credibility. However, supplementing with additional recent references, particularly regarding specific case studies or emerging technologies, could enrich the manuscript by offering readers a broader range of perspectives and insights.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>the article demonstrates a high standard of English language quality, making it suitable for scholarly communications. The clarity, coherence, and precision of the language contribute to the effective communication of ideas and research findings. The article meets the language standards expected in scholarly publications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Harisa Mardiana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Buddhi Dharma, Indonesia</b>