

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_JEAI_117642</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Review on Emerging Trends in Agricultural Extension and Their Impact on Global Food Systems</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Jack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>2. An academically-sound title may be: "Unveiling Novel Perspectives in Agricultural Extension: Analyzing Emerging Trends and Their Resonance within Global Food Systems"</p> <p>3. Abstract has missing key important elements and arrangements: refer to comments in the document.</p> <p>4. Not appropriate I have commented otherwise the arrangement be journals' guide eg use of letters A, B C, .....in sections/sub</p> <p>5. References should be improved and cite at least recently references could add reputations as most of the references be of many years (beyond 10 years back)</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Arrangements should follow the global standards for instances the global way of arranging an article is Background/introduction, Materials and methods, results and or discussion (may include together with results or separate), conclusion (recommendations may be or future research areas to be proposed).</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>GOOD BUT REQUIRE MANY IMPROVEMENTS</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christopher Mdoe
Department, University & Country	Tanzania