

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_117555
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Impact of Modern Agricultural Extension Services on Smallholder Farm Productivity and Sustainability in Developing Countries
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. I reviewed the manuscript "A Review on Impact of Modern Agricultural Extension Services on Smallholder Farm Productivity and Sustainability in Developing Countries" which is interesting, relevant and contains some novelty. I had no problem reviewing this article, as I believe the authors did a very good literature search, resulting in an excellent study that is powerful enough to add to the existing body of knowledge on the subject. More importantly, this review will undoubtedly guide further research in this area and provide a basis for future research and development of agricultural extension methodologies.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate and contains the necessary sections.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The list of used literary sources is sufficient and contains new references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language of the article is suitable for scientific communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors of the article theoretically investigated the problem of the impact of modern agricultural advisory services on the productivity and sustainability of small farms in developing countries. For this, the authors systematized and summarized the theoretical work of scientists and presented their own interpretation of previously conducted research and proposals for solving the specified problem. Also, this review can serve as a basis for future research and development of agricultural extension methodologies. In conclusion, I think the article deserves to be published.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olifir Yurii
Department, University & Country	National Academy of Agrarian Sciences, Ukraine