

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119413
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different growing media and varying levels of gibberellic acid on seed germination and vigour of acid lime (citrus aurantifolia swingle.) cv.kagzi lime.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1 This manuscript is important for the scientific community due to its analysis of the effect of different growing substrates and varying levels of gibberellic acid on seed germination and vigor of Kagzi lime (Citrus aurantifolia Swingle). However, the author did not adhere to the journal's author guidelines regarding text formatting.</p> <p>2. yes the title is appropriate</p> <p>3. No the author must refer to the guide proposed by the journal</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. No, as there is ample opportunity for improvement to enhance the comprehensibility of the subject.</p> <p>6. no</p> <p>Nb: I'd like the author to back up these assertions with references; refer to the guide proposed by the journal.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The word count and the lack of references in the text prevent me from defining the type of article.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kouakou Adjoua Dorcas
Department, University & Country	Nangui Abrogoua University, Côte d'Ivoire