

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_119285
Title of the Manuscript:	Expert perspectives on the clinical use of olmesartan + calcium channel blockers + diuretics combination therapy in the management of hypertension in Indian settings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrc.com/index.php/AJRCD/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- According to high rate of hypertension and its short and long term complications of this disease, gathering expert's opinions on the clinical use of specific triple-drug combination therapy could be scientifically important.</p> <p>2- The present title represents the main content of the article and is concise, specific and descriptive. Moreover, an alternative title could be as follow: "expert perspectives on Triple-drug combination therapy for hypertension in Indian patients: Olmesartan, CCBs and Diuretics"</p> <p>3- The abstract mentions the safety and effectiveness of the triple-drug combination, it doesn't explicitly highlight the gap in existing research regarding expert opinions on its clinical use in Indian settings. Moreover, providing more specific numerical results (e.g., percentage of clinicians adhering to ACC/AHA guidelines and prevalence of comorbid dyslipidemia) is suggested.</p> <p>4- The structure and subsections of the manuscript are appropriate and well-organized.</p> <p>5- The manuscript is clear, concise and precise as well as logical flow. Methodology, sample size and results are correctly discussed within the text. Tables and figures of the manuscript represent the main results of the study and are well-written.</p> <p>6- The references are sufficient while more recent references are suggested to include in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article appears suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Ali Hosseini
Department, University & Country	Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran