

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_SARJNP_115819   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND ANTIOXIDANT POTENTIAL OF ETHYLACETATE LEAF EXTRACT OF GOSSYPIUM HIRSUTUM LINN |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is relevant and important to the scientific community</li> <li>2. The title of the article is appropriate</li> <li>3. The abstract is comprehensive</li> <li>4. The subsections of the manuscript are appropriate</li> <li>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct</li> <li>6. The authors should add more recent references (2020 up to date)</li> </ol>  |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>  | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The quality of the language used in the article is suitable for scholarly communications</li> </ol>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   | <p><b>Authors should re-edit the work by using Grammarly Check for typographical errors.</b> Line 4 under Quantification of Total phenolic content should be corrected.<br/>Please take note of the correct spelling of saponin (Table 2) and Palmitic acid (line 11, under the discussion of GC/MS result)<br/>Considering the conclusive part, in this .....shows (study was omitted). Authors should also correct line 5 and 6.<br/>In reference no 3, the second author's name should be written appropriately, while authors' name in reference 32 should not be in upper case and unless otherwise stated, their names must be written, instead of using et al.</p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Akinsola Abiodun Folasade</b>       |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Ekiti State University, Nigeria</b> |